SAMHDA

SUBSTANCE ABUSE & MENTAL HEALTH DATA ARCHIVE

Uniform Facility Data Set, 1998: [United States]

United States Department of Health and Human Services. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. Office of Applied Studies

Codebook

Terms of Use

These data are distributed under the following terms of use. By continuing past this page, you signify your agreement to comply with the requirements as stated below:

Privacy of Research Subjects

Any intentional identification of a research subject (whether an individual or an organization) or unauthorized disclosure of his or her confidential information violates the promise of confidentiality given to the providers of the information. Disclosure of confidential information may also be punishable under federal law. Therefore, users of data agree:

- To use these datasets solely for research or statistical purposes and not for re-identification of specific research subjects.
- To make no use of the identity of any research subject discovered inadvertently and to report any such discovery to CBHSQ and SAMHDA (samhda-support@samhsa.hhs.gov)

Citing Data

You agree to reference the recommended bibliographic citation in any of your publications that use SAMHDA data. Authors of publications that use SAMHDA data are required to send citations of their published works for inclusion in a database of related publications.

Disclaimer

You acknowledge that SAMHSA will bear no responsibility for your use of the data or for your interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Violations

If CBHSQ determines that this terms of use agreement has been violated, then possible sanctions could include:

- Report of the violation to the Research Integrity Officer, Institutional Review Board, or Human Subjects Review Committee of the user's institution. A range of sanctions are available to institutions including revocation of tenure and termination.
- If the confidentiality of human subjects has been violated, then report of the violation may be made to the Federal Office for Human Research Protections. This may result in an investigation of the user's institution, which can result in institution-wide sanctions including the suspension of all research grants.
- Report of the violation of federal law to the United States Attorney General for possible prosecution.
- Court awarded payments of damages to any individual(s)/organization(s) harmed by the breach of confidential data.

Definitions

CBHSQ
    Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality

Promise of confidentiality

    A promise to a respondent or research participant that the information the respondent provides will not be disseminated in identifiable form without the permission of the respondent; that the fact that the respondent participated in the study will not be disclosed; and that disseminated information will include no linkages to the identity of the respondent. Such a promise encompasses traditional notions of both confidentiality and anonymity. In most cases, federal law protects the confidentiality of the respondent's identity as referenced in the Promise of Confidentiality. Under this condition, names and other identifying information regarding respondents would be confidential.

Research subject
    A person or organization that participates in a research study. A research subject may also be called a respondent. A respondent is generally a survey respondent or informant, experimental or observational subject, focus group participant, or any other person providing information to a study.
SAMHDA
    Substance Abuse and Mental Health Data Archive
SAMHSA
    Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

1) The codebook introduction refers to SAS (v.6.08), SAS transport, and ASCII tab delimited versions of the public use data file. This reference is to files that were originally created by the data producer and are not part of this release. To provide the data to users in a format that is neither system- nor platform-specific, the data file is in rectangular ASCII text format with SAS and SPSS data definition statements.

2) To maintain consistency with previously published numbers, one record containing an erroneous value of "-1" for O_RE1 and WHITE has been left on the file unedited.

3) UFDS SERIES INFORMATION: The data elements and format that make up the core of the UNIFORM FACILITY DATA SET (UFDS) were first used in 1976 as part of survey efforts designed to measure the scope and use of drug abuse treatment services in the United States. This core was used throughout the 1970s and 1980s in several different surveys. In 1987, the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (NDATUS) was first administered and was then conducted annually from 1989 to 1993. After the creation of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) in 1992, NDATUS was redesigned and, since 1995, has been conducted annually as the UNIFORM FACILITY DATA SET (UFDS) survey. UFDS is one of three components of SAMHSA's Drug and Alcohol Services Information System (DASIS) and is designed to provide the mechanism for quantifying the substance abuse treatment delivery system in the United States. DASIS was created in 1995 to facilitate the integration of SAMHSA's existing treatment services datasets and to reduce redundancy in data collection and reporting. The other two DASIS components are the National Master Facility Inventory (NMFI) and the Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS). The NMFI is a comprehensive listing of all known substance abuse treatment, education, and prevention facilities in the United States. The TEDS is a client-level database of individuals admitted to publicly-funded substance abuse treatment facilities and is available from the SAMHDA Web site. Together, these three DASIS components provide national- and state-level data on persons receiving alcohol and substance abuse treatment as well as data on the facilities providing the treatment.

SAMHSA

Drug and Alcohol Services Information System

Uniform Facility Data Set (UFDS): 1998

Public Use File Documentation

Office of Applied Studies

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

OFFICE OF APPLIED STUDIES

Uniform Facility Data Set (UFDS): 1998

Public Use File Documentation

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

5600 Fishers Lane, Room 16-105
Rockville, Maryland 20857

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The 1998 Uniform Facility Data Set (UFDS) survey was conducted between October 1998 and March 1999, with a reference date of October 1, 1998. It was the 22nd in a series of national surveys designed to measure the location, characteristics, and use of alcoholism and drug abuse treatment facilities and services throughout the United States, the District of Columbia, and other U.S. jurisdictions.[1] The Office of Applied Studies, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), plans and directs the UFDS survey.

UFDS has evolved from national survey efforts begun in the 1970s by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) to measure the scope and use of drug abuse treatment services in the United States. The sixth of these surveys, conducted in 1976, introduced the data elements and format that have formed the core of subsequent surveys. These include organizational setting, service orientation, services available, clients in treatment by type of care, capacity, and annual revenue sources and amounts. The 1976 survey, called the National Drug Abuse Treatment Utilization Survey, was repeated in 1977 and 1978. In 1979, the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) became a co-sponsor of the survey, alcoholism treatment facilities were added, and the study was renamed the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Utilization Survey. This survey was repeated in 1980 and 1982. In 1984, a one-page version was used (the National Alcoholism and Drug Abuse Program Inventory). In 1987, the full version of the survey was reinstated, and it was renamed the National Drug and Alcoholism Treatment Unit Survey (NDATUS). NDATUS was conducted annually from 1989-1993. In 1992, with the creation of SAMHSA, responsibility for conducting the survey shifted to SAMHSA's Office of Applied Studies. The survey was redesigned, and conducted annually as the Uniform Facility Data Set survey beginning in 1995.

UFDS is designed to collect information from each facility in the United States that provides substance abuse treatment, and from State-identified facilities providing other substance abuse services.[2] UFDS includes private and publicly-funded facilities. All facilities report identifying information and ownership. Treatment facilities also report data on the type of services provided, numbers of clients, client demographics (i.e., age, sex, and race/ethnicity groups), other client characteristics, number of beds, and sources and amounts of revenue.

---

[1]   The jurisdictions include the territories of American Samoa and Guam, the Federated States of Micronesia, the Republic of Palau, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands of the United States.

[2]   In this report, entities responding to UFDS are referred to as "facilities." This designation is consistent with the title of the survey, although, as discussed later in the report, a "facility" may be a program-level, clinic-level, or multi-site respondent.

UFDS provides the mechanism for quantifying the dynamic character and composition of the United States substance abuse treatment delivery system. The UFDS objectives are:

1. to assist SAMHSA and State and local governments in assessing the nature and extent of services provided in State-supported and other treatment facilities, and in forecasting treatment resource requirements;

2. to update SAMHSA's National Master Facility Inventory (NMFI) of all known drug abuse and alcoholism treatment facilities and State-identified facilities providing other substance abuse services (described further below);

3. to analyze general treatment services trends and to conduct comparative analyses for the nation, regions, and States;

4. to generate the *National Directory of Drug Abuse and Alcoholism Treatment Programs*, a compendium of State-tracked facilities providing substance abuse treatment; and

5. to update the information in SAMHSA's Substance Abuse Treatment Facility Locator, a searchable database of substance abuse treatment facilities available on the Internet at:

http://findtreatment.samhsa.gov

UFDS in the Context of the Drug and Alcohol Services Information System (DASIS)

UFDS is one of the three components of SAMHSA's Drug and Alcohol Services Information System (DASIS). The core of DASIS is the National Master Facility Inventory (NMFI), a continuously-updated, comprehensive listing of all known substance abuse treatment facilities and some State-identified prevention and education facilities. The other components of DASIS are the UFDS survey and the Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS), a client-level database of persons admitted to substance abuse treatment. A unique ID number assigned to the NMFI facility is used in the collection of facility-level data (UFDS) and client-level data (TEDS) so that the three data sets can be linked. Together, they provide national- and State-level information on the numbers and characteristics of individuals admitted to alcohol and drug treatment programs and describe the facilities that deliver care to those individuals.

The NMFI is the list frame for UFDS. Facilities in the NMFI fall into four general categories. These are distinguished by the services provided, the components of DASIS of which they are part, and the relationship of the facility to its State substance abuse agency. These categories are described below.

Treatment facilities administratively tracked by States and reporting to TEDS

The largest group of facilities (about 9,500 in 1998) includes facilities that are licensed or certified by the State substance abuse agency to provide substance abuse treatment (or are administratively tracked for other reasons), and are required by the States to provide TEDS client-level data. State DASIS representatives maintain this segment of the NMFI by reporting new facilities, closures, and address changes to SAMHSA.

Treatment facilities administratively tracked by States but not reporting to TEDS

This group (about 3,600 facilities in 1998) includes treatment facilities that are licensed, certified, or otherwise approved by the State

substance abuse agency (or are administratively tracked for other reasons), but that are not required by the States to provide TEDS client-level data. Some private for-profit facilities fall into this category. This group also includes programs operated by Federal agencies (the Bureau of Prisons, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), the Department of Defense, and the Indian Health Service). NMFI records for Federally-operated facilities are updated annually through lists provided by these agencies.

Treatment facilities not administratively tracked by States

This group of facilities (about 3,000 in 1998) represents the ongoing SAMHSA effort to make the NMFI as comprehensive as possible by including treatment facilities that States, for a variety of reasons, do not track. Many of these facilities are private for-profit, small group practices, or hospital-based programs. Most of them were identified through screening of alternative source databases such as the commercial American Business Index, the annual American Hospital Association survey, and SAMHSA's biennial Inventory of Mental Health Organizations. Additional facilities are also identified during the UFDS by survey participants, who are asked to report all of the treatment facilities in their administrative networks. States are given the opportunity to review these facilities and to add them to the State-tracked list if appropriate.

Non-treatment facilities administratively tracked by States

These facilities (about 2,900 in 1998) provide prevention, education, intake, assessment, referral, or administrative services. The listing of this group of facilities is provided by State DASIS representatives.

NMFI-Imposed Constraints on UFDS

The use of the NMFI as the list frame for UFDS imposes certain constraints related to the unit of response and the scope of facilities included. The NMFI is largely a compilation of facilities in State administrative systems, and each State has a different system and different criteria for eligibility.

Unit of response

SAMHSA requests that facilities be included in the NMFI, UFDS, and TEDS at the same administrative level so that record linkage among the three data sets is valid. SAMHSA further requests States to use the point of delivery of service (i.e., physical location) as the defining factor for a facility. However, because of the different State administrative systems, there is no consistent use of this definition. For example, in some States, multiple treatment programs (e.g., detoxification, residential, and outpatient) at the same address and under the same management have separate State licenses. These are treated as separate by the State, and are given separate NMFI ID numbers. In other States, multiple sites are included as a single entity under a parent or administrative unit. In many of these cases, individual sites can report client and services data in the UFDS survey, but revenue data are available only at a higher administrative level.

Until 1996, State substance abuse agencies distributed and collected the UFDS survey forms. Beginning in 1996, data collection was centralized and UFDS forms were sent directly to the facilities.

The UFDS is designed to collect data for each physical location where treatment services are provided. A small percentage of facilities elected

to report data at a higher administrative level than the State-determined NMFI facility level.

Thus UFDS data on the numbers of facilities must be interpreted with caution. Assessment of changes over time may be more valid, assuming that individual States retain consistent definitions.

Scope of facilities included

The great majority of facilities in the NMFI are treatment facilities administratively tracked by States. Therefore, the scope of facilities included in the NMFI is affected by differences in State systems of licensure, certification, accreditation, and the disbursement of public funds. For example, some State substance abuse agencies regulate private facilities and individual practitioners while others do not. In some States, hospital-based substance abuse treatment facilities are not licensed through the State substance abuse agency. Some State substance abuse agencies track correctional facilities (State prisons and local jails) while others do not.

In an effort to address these differences, SAMHSA conducted a large-scale effort during 1995 and 1996 to identify substance abuse treatment facilities that, for a variety of reasons, were not on the NMFI. Some 15 source lists were considered, and facilities not on the NMFI were contacted to ascertain whether they provided substance abuse treatment. As expected, this yielded a number of hospital-based and small private facilities that were not on the NMFI. These facilities were sent the UFDS survey beginning in 1995, but they were not included in the published results of the survey until 1997.

A similar effort, on a smaller scale, was conducted prior to the 1998 UFDS. For that effort, potential new facilities were identified

using data from the American Business Index (ABI), the annual American Hospital Association survey, and SAMHSA's Inventory of Mental Health Organizations, which were the three source lists from 1995-1996 that had yielded the most new facilities. This search resulted in the addition of 1,290 facilities to the 1998 UFDS. In addition, potential new facilities had been identified during the 1997 UFDS, during screening calls to facilities to confirm eligibility, and from other sources.

Some facilities operated by Federal agencies (the Bureau of Prisons, the VA, the Department of Defense, and the Indian Health Service) are tracked, but not regulated, by the States. NMFI records for Federally-operated facilities are updated annually through lists provided by these Federal agencies. Facilities operated by the Bureau of Prisons were excluded from the 1998 UFDS because SAMHSA conducted a separate survey of correctional facilities.[1]

Procedures for the 1998 UFDS

Field period and reference date

The field period for the 1998 UFDS ran from October 1998 through March 1999, with a reference date of October 1, 1998. Follow-up calls to facilities for correction of missing and erroneous data continued through June 1999.

Survey coverage
The UFDS survey was sent to all active facilities that were on the NMFI as of about six weeks

---

[1] Office of Applied Studies, SAMHSA (2000). *Substance Abuse Treatment in Adult and Juvenile Correctional Facilities: Findings from the Uniform Facility Data Set 1997 Survey of Correctional Facilities.* Drug and Alcohol Services Information System Series: S-9.

before the survey reference date (October 1, 1998).

Content

The 1998 UFDS survey instrument was a 10-page document with 39 numbered questions (see Appendix A). Respondents provided information on treatment services and on clients receiving care on October 1, 1998. Topics included:

! Facility identification information
! Substance abuse services (treatment, detoxification, prevention, administrative, other)
! Ownership
! Hotline operation
! Organizational setting
! Managed care participation
! Specific services provided (assessment, therapy, testing, health services, programs for special groups, etc.)
! General types of treatment provided (outpatient, hospital, residential)
! Organizational relationships (parent or affiliate(s); financial parent or affiliate(s))
! 12-month unduplicated client count
! 12-month admissions
! Outpatient treatment clients as of the survey reference date, including:
   • Client counts by type of care (detoxification, intensive, and other outpatient)
   • Number receiving methadone/LAAM
   • Client counts by demographics (age group, sex, race/ethnicity)
! Hospital inpatient clients as of the survey reference date, including:
   • Beds designated for substance abuse clients
   • Client counts by type of care (detoxification, rehabilitation)
   • Number receiving methadone/LAAM

• Client counts by demographics (age group, sex, race/ethnicity)
! Residential clients as of the survey reference date, including:
   • Beds designated for substance abuse clients
   • Client counts by type of care (detoxification, rehabilitation)
   • Number receiving methadone/LAAM
   • Client counts by demographics (age group, sex, race/ethnicity)
! Proportions treated for alcohol only, drug only, and both substances
! Proportions of clients in selected population sub-groups (injection drug users, persons with active TB, HIV-positive clients, clients in treatment previously, managed care clients, correctional clients, pregnant women, mothers with dependent children)
! Annual revenue sources and amounts

Data collection

Prior to the survey, faxes or letters were sent to all facilities to update address information, and to alert facilities to expect the survey. Data collection instruments (with State letters of endorsement or other enclosures) were mailed to each facility. During the data collection phase, contract personnel were available to answer facilities' questions concerning the survey. Four weeks after the initial mailing, thank you/reminder letters were sent to all facilities. Five to six weeks after the initial mailing, non-responding facilities were sent a second mailing. About five weeks after the second mailing, non-respondents received a reminder telephone call. Those facilities that had not responded within two to three weeks of the reminder call were telephoned and asked to complete a slightly abbreviated version of the survey by telephone.

Forms accounting and response rate

Summary response rate information is presented in Table 1.1. Questionnaires were mailed to a total of 21,825 facilities believed to offer substance abuse services (treatment and non-treatment). Of these facilities, 12 percent were found to be ineligible for the survey, either because they had closed or because they were not providing substance abuse services on October 1, 1998. Of the remaining 19,174 facilities, the responses are distributed as follows:

- Fifty-four percent of facilities completed the full mail survey and 24 percent completed the abbreviated telephone survey.

- Seven percent of facilities completed the first sections of the questionnaire on facility characteristics (ownership, setting, services, etc.) but did not provide separate client counts—another administratively linked facility reported client counts for both facilities combined. These facilities and their client counts are included in all tables in this report.

- Two percent of facilities did not return a questionnaire for themselves, but other facilities reported that they had included the client counts for the non-reporting facilities with their client counts. In this report, the facilities that did not report for themselves are not included in the counts of facilities, but their client count data are included.

- Three percent of facilities reported that they provided treatment, but no client count data were reported for them. These responses are not included in this report.

- No response of any kind was received from 10 percent of facilities presumed to be eligible for the survey (the effective sample).

Quality assurance

All mail questionnaires were reviewed for inconsistencies and missing data. Calls were made to facilities to obtain missing data and to clarify questionable responses. After data entry, automated quality assurance reviews were conducted. These included the rules used in manual editing, plus consistency checks not

Table 1.1
Survey forms accounting and response rate: UFDS 1998

	Number	Percent of total forms	Percent of effective sample
Total forms distributed	**21,825**	**100.0**	
Closed/ineligible	2,651	12.1	
Effective sample	**19,174**	**87.9**	**100.0**
Usable responses	16,762	76.8	87.4
Complete data	16,337	74.9	85.2
Mail	10,376	47.5	54.1
Telephone	4,618	21.2	24.1
Split response[1]	1,343	6.2	7.0
Partial data (client counts only)[2]	425	1.9	2.2
Unusable responses[3]	559	2.6	2.9
Non-respondents	1,853	8.5	9.7

1. Completed facility characteristic questions; client and revenue data were reported by another facility.
2. Client count reported by another facility.
3. No client counts submitted.

readily implemented by manual review. As a final check, the data were returned to the State substance abuse agencies for their review.

If facilities could not be reached during the edit callbacks, responses that were clearly in error were deleted and imputed.

Item non-response

The editing process ensured that item non-response was minimal. A few facilities did not provide client demographics; missing data were imputed using averaged responses from facilities in the same State and with similar ownership and client mix characteristics.

Profile of Responding Facilities

The Public Use File includes data on the 13,455 facilities that provide treatment and/or detoxification services (78 percent of reporting facilities), either alone or in conjunction with other substance abuse services. Because some of these facilities reported client counts for affiliated facilities, the client counts actually include data on 13,880 facilities.

Most of the responding treatment/detox facilities (78 percent) completed the full survey, while 22 percent completed the telephone survey (see Appendix A).

Further Data Considerations and Limitations

As with any data collection effort, certain procedural considerations and data limitations must be taken into account when interpreting data from the 1998 UFDS. Some of these are outlined above. Some general issues are discussed here; considerations and limitations of specific data items are discussed where the data are presented.

- UFDS attempts to obtain responses from all known treatment and prevention facilities, but it is a voluntary survey. There is no adjustment for facility non-response.

- Careful editing, and extensive follow-up have greatly reduced item non-response. There are instances of non-response in most data items, however, and some variables have an explicit *Unknown* response option. In addition, respondents contacted by telephone completed a slightly abbreviated version of the survey (see Appendix A). To avoid the loss of usable information, all responding facilities that reported client counts were retained in the database. Missing data on client demographics were imputed.

- UFDS is a point-prevalence survey. It provides information on the substance abuse treatment system and its clients on the reference date. Client counts do not represent annual totals. Rather, UFDS provides a 'snapshot' of substance abuse treatment facilities and clients on an average day.

- UFDS collects data about facilities, not individual clients. Data on clients represent an aggregate of clients in treatment for each reporting facility. Demographic data are collected in different matrices of the survey form and cannot be cross-tabulated. While it is possible to report how many female clients and how many clients under age 18 were in treatment at a facility, the number of female clients under 18 cannot be determined.

- Multiple responses were allowed for certain variables (e.g., services provided, facility setting, and specialized programs). Frequencies for these variables include the total number of facilities reporting each response.

Uniform Facility Data Set, 1998: [United States]

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

_____

CASEID: CASE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

MODE: 1=Phone Complete 2=Mail Complete

Survey data collected by:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Telephone	2972	22.1 %
2	Mail survey	10483	77.9 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 6-7 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

STFIPS: STATE

State FIPS codes consistent with those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	ALABAMA	110	0.8 %
2	ALASKA	73	0.5 %
3	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %
4	ARIZONA	212	1.6 %
5	ARKANSAS	75	0.6 %
6	CALIFORNIA	1462	10.9 %
7	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %
8	COLORADO	221	1.6 %
9	CONNECTICUT	253	1.9 %
10	DELAWARE	46	0.3 %
11	DIST OF COLUMBIA	60	0.4 %
12	FLORIDA	610	4.5 %
13	GEORGIA	227	1.7 %
14	IDAHO	0	0.0 %
15	HAWAII	73	0.5 %
16	IDAHO	58	0.4 %
17	ILLINOIS	557	4.1 %
18	INDIANA	313	2.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	IOWA	123	0.9 %
20	KANSAS	178	1.3 %
21	KENTUCKY	259	1.9 %
22	LOUISIANA	172	1.3 %
23	MAINE	162	1.2 %
24	MARYLAND	346	2.6 %
25	MASSACHUSETTS	370	2.7 %
26	MICHIGAN	642	4.8 %
27	MINNESOTA	283	2.1 %
28	MISSISSIPPI	93	0.7 %
29	MISSOURI	259	1.9 %
30	MONTANA	58	0.4 %
31	NEBRASKA	113	0.8 %
32	NEVADA	96	0.7 %
33	NEW HAMPSHIRE	77	0.6 %
34	NEW JERSEY	314	2.3 %
35	NEW MEXICO	124	0.9 %
36	NEW YORK	1235	9.2 %
37	NORTH CAROLINA	271	2.0 %
38	NORTH DAKOTA	51	0.4 %
39	OHIO	552	4.1 %
40	OKLAHOMA	161	1.2 %
41	OREGON	194	1.4 %
42	PENNSYLVANIA	544	4.0 %
43	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %
44	RHODE ISLAND	65	0.5 %
45	SOUTH CAROLINA	79	0.6 %
46	SOUTH DAKOTA	64	0.5 %
47	TENNESSEE	211	1.6 %
48	TEXAS	673	5.0 %
49	UTAH	137	1.0 %
50	VERMONT	46	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 78

Location: 8-9 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

TREATMT: On 10/1/98-Provided Treatment Services

On October 1, 1998 which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	13275	98.7 %
2	No	180	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 10-11 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

DETOX: On 10/1/98-Provided Detox Services

On October 1, 1998 which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2959	22.0 %
2	No	10496	78.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 12-13 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

PREVNTN: On 10/1/98-Provided Prevention Services

On October 1, 1998 which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7931	58.9 %
2	No	5501	40.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	23	0.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,432 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 14-15 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OTHNONTX: On 10/1/98-Provided Other Services

On October 1, 1998 which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	12356	91.8 %
2	No	1088	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	11	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,444 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 16-17 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OWNERSHP: Ownership

Who owns this facility? MARK ONE ONLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	A private-for-profit organization	3775	28.1 %
2	A private non-profit organization	7489	55.7 %
3	State government	589	4.4 %
4	Local, county or community government	1105	8.2 %
5	Tribal government	180	1.3 %
6	Federal government	317	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 6

Location: 18-19 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

FEDOWN: Federal Government Agency

Which federal government agency? MARK ONE ONLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Department of Veterans Affairs	149	1.1 %
2	Department of Defense	128	1.0 %
3	Bureau of prisons	0	0.0 %
4	Indian Health Service	30	0.2 %
5	Other	10	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13138	97.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 317 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 5

Location: 20-21 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC1: Setting, General Hospital

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

General Hospital

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1228	9.1 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12227	90.9 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 1,228 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1

- Maximum: 1

Location: 22-23 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC2: Setting, Psychiatric Hospital

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Psychiatric hospital

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	512	3.8 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12943	96.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 512 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 24-25 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC3: Setting, Other Specialized Hospital

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Other specialized hospital (for example, alcoholism, maternity, children's, orthopedic)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	600	4.5 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12855	95.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 600 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

LOC4: Setting, Outpatient Facility

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

OUTPATIENT facility specializing in substance abuse treatment

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7431	55.2 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	6024	44.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 7,431 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

LOC5: Setting, Halfway House

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Halfway house

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	765	5.7 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12690	94.3 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 765 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

LOC6: Setting, Residential Facility

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

RESIDENTIAL (non-hospital) substance abuse treatment facility

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1547	11.5 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	11908	88.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 1,547 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 32-33 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC7: TC Included - Yes/No

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Therapeutic community

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1275	9.5 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12180	90.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 1,275 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 34-35 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC8: Setting, Mental Health Center

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Community MENTAL health center or other mental health facility that provides a variety of services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2667	19.8 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	10788	80.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 2,667 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 36-37 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC9: Setting, Health Center

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Community Health Center, including Migrant Health Center, Urban Indian Program, Health Care for the Homeless Center

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	248	1.8 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13207	98.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 248 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 38-39 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC10: Setting, School

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

School (elementary, secondary, college/university)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	354	2.6 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13101	97.4 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 354 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 40-41 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC11: Setting, Religious Organization

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse treatment facility?

Community or religious organization/agency that provides a variety of social services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	660	4.9 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12795	95.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 660 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 42-43 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC12: Setting, Jail or Prison

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse

treatment facility?

Jail, prison or juvenile detention center

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	445	3.3 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13010	96.7 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 445 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 44-45 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC13: Setting, Other Criminal Justice

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse
treatment facility?

Other criminal justice (TASC, pretrial diversion, court referral,
probation, parole, community corrections, drug courts)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	851	6.3 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12604	93.7 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 851 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 46-47 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC14: Setting, Private Group Practice

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse
treatment facility?

Private practice - group

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	997	7.4 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12458	92.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 997 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 48-49 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC15: Setting, Private Solo Practice

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse
treatment facility?

Private practice - solo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	832	6.2 %
2	No	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12623	93.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 832 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 1

Location: 50-51 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

LOC16: Setting, Other Private Setting

Which setting (or settings) BEST describes this substance abuse
treatment facility?

Other setting

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	385	2.9 %
2	No	13062	97.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	8	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,447 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 52-53 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

MGMCARE: Facility Owned by Mgd Care Organztion

Is this facility owned or operated by a managed care organization (for example, an HMO)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	170	1.3 %
2	No	13273	98.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,443 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 54-55 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

WRITARRA: Facility Have Contracts w/Mgd Care

On October 1, 1998, did this facility have letters of agreement or contacts with managed care organizations for providing substance abuse treatment services?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5987	44.5 %
2	No	6321	47.0 %
3	Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1147	8.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,308 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 56-57 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

WRITNUM: How Many Mgd Care Contracts

With how many managed care organizations did you have formal written agreements or contracts? ###

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	782	5.8 %
2	-	660	4.9 %
3	-	639	4.7 %
4	-	521	3.9 %
5	-	511	3.8 %
6	-	303	2.3 %
7	-	201	1.5 %
8	-	185	1.4 %
9	-	57	0.4 %
10	-	382	2.8 %
11	-	36	0.3 %
12	-	179	1.3 %
13	-	73	0.5 %
14	-	38	0.3 %
15	-	188	1.4 %
16	-	22	0.2 %
17	-	25	0.2 %
18	-	31	0.2 %
19	-	8	0.1 %
20	-	161	1.2 %
21	-	28	0.2 %
22	-	17	0.1 %
23	-	12	0.1 %
24	-	23	0.2 %
25	-	81	0.6 %
26	-	26	0.2 %
27	-	15	0.1 %
28	-	14	0.1 %
29	-	3	0.0 %
30	-	72	0.5 %
31	-	5	0.0 %
32	-	22	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	7	0.1 %
34	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	33	0.2 %
36	-	12	0.1 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	6	0.0 %
39	-	11	0.1 %
40	-	36	0.3 %
41	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	8	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	6	0.0 %
45	-	20	0.1 %
46	-	9	0.1 %
47	-	4	0.0 %
48	-	5	0.0 %
50	-	44	0.3 %
51	-	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	7768	57.7 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 5,687 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 10.77
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 999
- Standard Deviation: 22.63

Location: 58-60 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OWNER: OWNERSHIP-VALUES REARRANGED FOR TABLES

Ownership variable with values rearranged for tables

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Private non-profit	7489	55.7 %
2	Private for profit	3775	28.1 %
3	Local, community government	1105	8.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	State Government	589	4.4 %
5	Federal Government	317	2.4 %
6	Tribal Government	180	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 6

Location: 61-62 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SETTING: SETTING-VALUES REARRANGED FOR TABLES

Setting values re-coded

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Outpatient	3720	27.6 %
2	Residential	1875	13.9 %
3	Outpatient and residential	354	2.6 %
4	Community Mental Health Center	2004	14.9 %
5	Solo / Group practice	1395	10.4 %
6	General Hospital	1074	8.0 %
7	Psych Hospital	802	6.0 %
8	Criminal Justice	807	6.0 %
9	Community and religious	338	2.5 %
10	Health Center	108	0.8 %
11	Mixed / Other	978	7.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 11

Location: 63-64 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

ADMIN: On 10/1/98-Provided Admin Services

On October 1, 1998 which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	10472	77.8 %
2	No	2964	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	19	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,436 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 65-66 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC1: Assessment Comprehensive Sub Abuse

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Assessment services:

Comprehensive substance abuse assessment/diagnosis

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	9616	71.5 %
2	No	860	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2979	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,476 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 67-68 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC2: Assessment Mental Health

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility? MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Assessment services:

Comprehensive mental health assessment/diagnosis

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4704	35.0 %
2	No	5769	42.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 69-70 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC3: Assessment Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Assessment services:

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	300	2.2 %
2	No	10172	75.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2983	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,472 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 71-72 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC4: Therapy Family Counseling

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Family counseling

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7668	57.0 %
2	No	2807	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 73-74 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC5: Therapy Group

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Group therapy

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	9207	68.4 %
2	No	1269	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2979	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,476 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 75-76 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC6: Therapy Individual

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Individual therapy

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	9772	72.6 %
2	No	703	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 77-78 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC7: Therapy Pharmaco/Prescription Med

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Pharmacotherapies/prescription medication

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4164	30.9 %
2	No	6311	46.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 79-80 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC8: Therapy Relapse Prevention Groups

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Relapse prevention groups

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7460	55.4 %
2	No	3016	22.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2979	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,476 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 81-82 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC9: Therapy Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Therapy:

Other (therapy)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	761	5.7 %
2	No	9713	72.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC10: Testing Blood/Alcohol

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being

provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

Blood alcohol

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3983	29.6 %
2	No	6492	48.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 85-86 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC11: Testing Drug/Alcohol Urine Screen

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

Drug/alcohol urine screening

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7770	57.7 %
2	No	2705	20.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 87-88 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC12: Testing Hair Analysis

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

Hair analysis

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	177	1.3 %
2	No	10296	76.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 89-90 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC13: Testing Hepatitis

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

Hepatitis testing

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1968	14.6 %
2	No	8505	63.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 91-92 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC14: Testing HIV

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

HIV testing

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3264	24.3 %
2	No	7210	53.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 93-94 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC15: Testing STD

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

STD testing

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2220	16.5 %
2	No	8254	61.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 95-96 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric

SRVC16: Testing TB Screening

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

TB screening

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3830	28.5 %
2	No	6644	49.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 97-98 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC17: Testing Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Testing:

Other (test)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	330	2.5 %
2	No	10143	75.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 99-100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

SRVC18: Health Services Family Planning

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Family planning

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	671	5.0 %
2	No	9804	72.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 101-102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC19: Health Services Medical Care

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Medical care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2739	20.4 %
2	No	7737	57.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2979	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,476 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 103-104 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC20: Health Services Prenatal Care

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Prenatal care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	688	5.1 %
2	No	9787	72.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 105-106 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC21: Health Services Perinatal Care

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Perinatal care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	494	3.7 %
2	No	9981	74.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 107-108 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC22: Health Services TB Treatment

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

TB treatment

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	951	7.1 %
2	No	9524	70.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 109-110 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC23: Health Services Hlth Education

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Health education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4924	36.6 %
2	No	5552	41.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2979	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,476 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 111-112 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC24: Health Services HIV/AIDS Education

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

HIV/AIDS education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5429	40.3 %
2	No	5046	37.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC25: Health Services Smoking Cessation

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Smoking cessation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2207	16.4 %
2	No	8268	61.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 115-116 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC26: Health Services Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Health services:

Other (health service)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	187	1.4 %
2	No	10288	76.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 117-118 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC27: Continuing Care Aftercare Counseling

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Continuing care:

Aftercare counseling

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7574	56.3 %
2	No	2900	21.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 119-120 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC28: Continuing Care Alumni(ae) Groups

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Continuing care:

Alumni(ae) groups

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2371	17.6 %
2	No	8103	60.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 121-122 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC29: Continuing Care Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Continuing care:

Other (continuing care)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	230	1.7 %
2	No	10243	76.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 123-124 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC36: Transitional Assist. Social Services

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Assistance with obtaining Social Services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	4430	32.9 %
2	No	6044	44.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 125-126 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC37: Transitional Services Discharge Plan

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Discharge planning

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7143	53.1 %
2	No	3332	24.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 127-128 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC38: Transitional Services Employment

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Employment counseling/training

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3126	23.2 %
2	No	7349	54.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 129-130 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC39: Transitional Services Housing Assist

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Housing assistance

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2626	19.5 %
2	No	7848	58.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 131-132 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC40: Transitional Services Referral

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Referral to other services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	8301	61.7 %
2	No	2174	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 133-134 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC41: Transitional Services Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Transitional services:

Other (transitional service)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	135	1.0 %
2	No	10337	76.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2983	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,472 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 135-136 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC42: Comm Outreach Drug/Alcohol Ed

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Community Outreach:

Drug and alcohol education

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6631	49.3 %
2	No	3843	28.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC43: Comm Outreach Early Intervention

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Community Outreach:

Outreach/early intervention

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3552	26.4 %
2	No	6923	51.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC44: Comm Outreach Medical Presentations

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Community Outreach:

Media presentations (T.V., radio, brochures)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3914	29.1 %
2	No	6560	48.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 141-142 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC45: Comm Outreach Membership Prog

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Community Outreach:

Membership in a community partnership program

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3407	25.3 %
2	No	7067	52.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 143-144 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC46: Comm Outreach Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Community Outreach:

Other (community outreach)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	209	1.6 %
2	No	10264	76.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 145-146 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC47: Other Services Academic Ed/GED

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Academic education/GED classes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1556	11.6 %
2	No	8919	66.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 147-148 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC48: Other Services Acupuncture

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Acupuncture

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	462	3.4 %
2	No	10012	74.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 149-150 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC49: Other Services Case Management

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Case management services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5209	38.7 %
2	No	5266	39.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 151-152 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC50: Other Services Child Care

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Child care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	911	6.8 %
2	No	9563	71.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 153-154 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC51: Other Services Communication Skill

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Communication skills

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3506	26.1 %
2	No	6968	51.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 155-156 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC52: Other Services Domestic Violence

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Domestic violence - family/partner violence services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3195	23.7 %
2	No	7280	54.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 157-158 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC53: Other Services Home Visits

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Home visits

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2147	16.0 %
2	No	8327	61.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 159-160 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC54: Other Services Life Skills

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Life skills for independent living

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3406	25.3 %
2	No	7068	52.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 161-162 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC55: Other Services Outcome Follow-Up

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Outcome follow-up (post-discharge)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3521	26.2 %
2	No	6953	51.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 163-164 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC56: Other Services Parenting/family

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Parenting/family skills development

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3910	29.1 %
2	No	6563	48.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2982	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,473 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 165-166 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC57: Other Services Self-help Grps

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Self-help groups, including 12-step programs

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5504	40.9 %
2	No	4971	36.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2980	22.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,475 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 167-168 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC58: Other Services Socialization

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Socialization/recreational services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3280	24.4 %
2	No	7194	53.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 169-170 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC59: Other Services Transportation

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Transportation assistance to treatment

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3820	28.4 %
2	No	6654	49.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 171-172 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC60: Other Services Other

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Other Services:

Other (service)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	346	2.6 %
2	No	10128	75.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2981	22.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 10,474 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 173-174 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC30: Programs for Adolescents

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Adolescents

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5163	38.4 %
2	No	8279	61.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,442 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 175-176 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC31: Programs for Dual Diagnosis

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Dually-diagnosed (mental and substance abuse disorders)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6416	47.7 %
2	No	7026	52.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,442 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 177-178 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC32: Programs for HIV/AID

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Persons with HIV/AIDS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2576	19.1 %
2	No	10865	80.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	14	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,441 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 179-180 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC33: Programs for Pregnant or Postpartum

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Pregnant/Postpartum women

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2493	18.5 %
2	No	10947	81.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	15	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,440 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 181-182 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC34: Other Services Womens Groups

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Other women's groups

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3824	28.4 %
2	No	9616	71.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	15	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,440 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 183-184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SRVC35: Facility Provides Other Special Groups

As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being
provided at this facility?   MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Programs for special groups:

Other (special group)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1171	8.7 %
2	No	12270	91.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	14	0.1 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,441 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 185-186 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE1: Outpatient Detox

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance
abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Outpatient detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1549	11.5 %
2	No	11905	88.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,454 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

• Minimum: 1
• Maximum: 2

Location: 187-188 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE2: Intensive Outpatient Treatment

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Intensive outpatient treatment

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	6282	46.7 %
2	No	7169	53.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,451 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 189-190 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE3: Other Outpatient Treatment

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

All other outpatient treatment

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	9907	73.6 %
2	No	3543	26.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	5	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,450 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 191-192 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE4: Hospital Inpatient Detox

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance
abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Hospital inpatient detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1148	8.5 %
2	No	12305	91.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,453 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 193-194 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE5: Hospital Inpatient Rehabilitation

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance
abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Hospital inpatient rehabilitation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	784	5.8 %
2	No	12668	94.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,452 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 195-196 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE6: Non-hospital Residential Detox

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance
abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Non-hospital residential (24 hour care) detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1003	7.5 %
2	No	12450	92.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	2	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,453 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 197-198 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TYPE7: Non-hospital Residential Rehab

On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance
abuse treatment were offered at this facility?

Non-hospital residential (24 hour care) rehabilitation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3348	24.9 %
2	No	10104	75.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,452 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 199-200 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

ADMINLNK: Facility Part of Network-Yes/No

On October 1, 1998, was this facility part of a network of administratively-linked facilities that provide substance abuse treatment?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	7169	53.3 %
2	No	6260	46.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	26	0.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,429 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 201-202 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

FACNUM: # of Facilities in Client Count Data

How many facilities are included in the client data you will be reporting?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	342	2.5 %
3	-	142	1.1 %
4	-	88	0.7 %
5	-	40	0.3 %
6	-	38	0.3 %
7	-	24	0.2 %
8	-	21	0.2 %
9	-	6	0.0 %
10	-	8	0.1 %
11	-	3	0.0 %
12	-	3	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12731	94.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 724 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 3.56

- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 2.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 31
- Standard Deviation: 2.58

Location: 203-204 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OTHFAC1: Client Counts-Fac Combined w/Others

Facility has client counts combined with those of another facility

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	720	5.4 %
2	No	6434	47.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	6301	46.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 7,154 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 205-206 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OTHFAC2: Client Counts-This Facility Only

Client counts are for this facility only

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	5117	38.0 %
2	No	2037	15.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	6301	46.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 7,154 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 207-208 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OTHFAC3: Cannot Provide Any Client Counts

Facility cannot provide client counts

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1317	9.8 %
2	No	5837	43.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	6301	46.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 7,154 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 209-210 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

CLIENTS4: TOTAL OF T_CLI1-T_CLI7 AFTER EDITS

TOTAL Clients after edits (T_CLI1 thru T_CLI7)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	283	2.1 %
1	-	112	0.8 %
2	-	160	1.2 %
3	-	152	1.1 %
4	-	158	1.2 %
5	-	226	1.7 %
6	-	204	1.5 %
7	-	161	1.2 %
8	-	221	1.6 %
9	-	143	1.1 %
10	-	298	2.2 %
11	-	141	1.0 %
12	-	246	1.8 %
13	-	139	1.0 %
14	-	159	1.2 %
15	-	312	2.3 %
16	-	186	1.4 %
17	-	146	1.1 %
18	-	154	1.1 %
19	-	134	1.0 %
20	-	326	2.4 %
21	-	98	0.7 %
22	-	128	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	127	0.9 %
25	-	204	1.5 %
26	-	99	0.7 %
27	-	79	0.6 %
28	-	133	1.0 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	242	1.8 %
31	-	78	0.6 %
32	-	96	0.7 %
33	-	77	0.6 %
34	-	74	0.5 %
35	-	136	1.0 %
36	-	71	0.5 %
37	-	79	0.6 %
38	-	89	0.7 %
39	-	50	0.4 %
40	-	203	1.5 %
41	-	63	0.5 %
42	-	89	0.7 %
43	-	67	0.5 %
44	-	49	0.4 %
45	-	118	0.9 %
46	-	64	0.5 %
47	-	67	0.5 %
48	-	74	0.5 %
49	-	45	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1432	10.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,023 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 86.37
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3451
- Standard Deviation: 160.09

Location: 211-214 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HISVC: Hospital Inpatient Treatment Offered

Were hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1238	9.2 %
2	No	10898	81.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1319	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,136 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 215-216 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HOSPBED: Total Beds Designated-Hospital Inpatient

On October 1, 1998, how many beds were designated for hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment clients? This is often referred to as "maximum capacity". ###

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	80	0.6 %
1	-	7	0.1 %
2	-	23	0.2 %
3	-	12	0.1 %
4	-	33	0.2 %
5	-	27	0.2 %
6	-	46	0.3 %
7	-	8	0.1 %
8	-	41	0.3 %
9	-	10	0.1 %
10	-	77	0.6 %
11	-	13	0.1 %
12	-	54	0.4 %
13	-	15	0.1 %
14	-	32	0.2 %
15	-	46	0.3 %
16	-	54	0.4 %
17	-	13	0.1 %
18	-	43	0.3 %
19	-	15	0.1 %
20	-	84	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	29	0.2 %
23	-	15	0.1 %
24	-	43	0.3 %
25	-	14	0.1 %
26	-	13	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	20	0.1 %
29	-	6	0.0 %
30	-	30	0.2 %
31	-	6	0.0 %
32	-	18	0.1 %
33	-	6	0.0 %
34	-	9	0.1 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	13	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	6	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	26	0.2 %
41	-	2	0.0 %
42	-	4	0.0 %
43	-	3	0.0 %
44	-	7	0.1 %
45	-	3	0.0 %
46	-	7	0.1 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	4	0.0 %
50	-	10	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12313	91.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,142 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 24.09
- Median: 16.00
- Mode: 20.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 524
- Standard Deviation: 35.17

T_CLI1: Hosp. Inpatient Clients,Detox

On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received
the following hospital inpatients substance abuse services? ###

a. Hospital inpatient detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	201	1.5 %
1	-	118	0.9 %
2	-	113	0.8 %
3	-	111	0.8 %
4	-	100	0.7 %
5	-	79	0.6 %
6	-	52	0.4 %
7	-	35	0.3 %
8	-	37	0.3 %
9	-	21	0.2 %
10	-	52	0.4 %
11	-	8	0.1 %
12	-	17	0.1 %
13	-	6	0.0 %
14	-	7	0.1 %
15	-	16	0.1 %
16	-	8	0.1 %
17	-	8	0.1 %
18	-	4	0.0 %
19	-	3	0.0 %
20	-	8	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	5	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.0 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	2	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
150	-	1	0.0 %
366	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12409	92.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 1,046 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 6.04
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 366
- Standard Deviation: 14.61

Location: 220-222 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI2: Hosp. Inpatient Clients,Rehab

On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received
the following hospital inpatients substance abuse services? ###

b. Hospital inpatient rehabilitation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	166	1.2 %
1	-	43	0.3 %
2	-	57	0.4 %
3	-	40	0.3 %
4	-	36	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	-	25	0.2 %
6	-	27	0.2 %
7	-	36	0.3 %
8	-	24	0.2 %
9	-	11	0.1 %
10	-	21	0.2 %
11	-	12	0.1 %
12	-	18	0.1 %
13	-	7	0.1 %
14	-	12	0.1 %
15	-	21	0.2 %
16	-	10	0.1 %
17	-	7	0.1 %
18	-	7	0.1 %
19	-	10	0.1 %
20	-	18	0.1 %
21	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	5	0.0 %
24	-	5	0.0 %
25	-	8	0.1 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	6	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	7	0.1 %
31	-	3	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	4	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	3	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	3	0.0 %
51	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
52	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12747	94.7 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 708 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 11.73
- Median: 5.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 285
- Standard Deviation: 23.28

Location: 223-225 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLIHI: Total Number of Hospital Inpatients

d. TOTAL HOSPITAL INPATIENTS(a+b)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	155	1.2 %
1	-	87	0.6 %
2	-	81	0.6 %
3	-	75	0.6 %
4	-	81	0.6 %
5	-	78	0.6 %
6	-	51	0.4 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	43	0.3 %
9	-	22	0.2 %
10	-	54	0.4 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	39	0.3 %
13	-	21	0.2 %
14	-	17	0.1 %
15	-	39	0.3 %
16	-	25	0.2 %
17	-	18	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	19	0.1 %
19	-	15	0.1 %
20	-	22	0.2 %
21	-	4	0.0 %
22	-	6	0.0 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	12	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	4	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	14	0.1 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	4	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
Missing Data			
-9	MISSING	12287	91.3 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,168 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 12.52
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 366
- Standard Deviation: 23.86

Location: 226-228 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HIMETLAM: Meth/LAAM Dispensed-Inpatients

On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL INPATIENTS reported in Q20c were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Does not dispense	934	6.9 %
2	Does dispense	89	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12432	92.4 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 1,023 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 229-230 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HIMETNUM: Total Methadone Inpatients

Methadone clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1	0.0 %
1	-	22	0.2 %
2	-	6	0.0 %
3	-	9	0.1 %
4	-	8	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.0 %
6	-	8	0.1 %
7	-	5	0.0 %
8	-	6	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
16	-	3	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
120	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13366	99.3 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.06
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 120
- Standard Deviation: 14.57

Location: 231-233 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HILAMNUM: Total LAAM Inpatients

LAAM clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	87	0.6 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13366	99.3 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2
- Standard Deviation: 0.24

Location: 234-235 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX1: Hosp Inp Male Clients

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Hospital inpatients, male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11153	82.9 %
1	-	114	0.8 %
2	-	117	0.9 %
3	-	101	0.8 %
4	-	77	0.6 %
5	-	69	0.5 %
6	-	58	0.4 %
7	-	43	0.3 %
8	-	45	0.3 %
9	-	44	0.3 %
10	-	42	0.3 %
11	-	24	0.2 %
12	-	18	0.1 %
13	-	13	0.1 %
14	-	17	0.1 %
15	-	27	0.2 %
16	-	20	0.1 %
17	-	16	0.1 %
18	-	16	0.1 %
19	-	9	0.1 %
20	-	9	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	7	0.1 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	6	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.0 %
26	-	5	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	9	0.1 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	5	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	3	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	5	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	3	0.0 %
56	-	2	0.0 %
57	-	2	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.89
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 365
- Standard Deviation: 6.56

Location: 236-238 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX2: Hosp Inp Female Clients

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Hospital inpatients, female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11426	84.9 %
1	-	168	1.2 %
2	-	152	1.1 %
3	-	78	0.6 %
4	-	71	0.5 %
5	-	50	0.4 %
6	-	39	0.3 %
7	-	37	0.3 %
8	-	15	0.1 %
9	-	14	0.1 %
10	-	16	0.1 %
11	-	5	0.0 %
12	-	12	0.1 %
13	-	10	0.1 %
14	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	5	0.0 %
16	-	3	0.0 %
18	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.0 %
21	-	2	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
115	-	1	0.0 %
116	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.32
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 116
- Standard Deviation: 2.65

Location: 239-241 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX3: Hosp Inp Unknown Clients gender

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Hospital inpatients, gender unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 242-243 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX4: Hosp Inp Clients Gender total

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

TOTAL HOSPITAL INPATIENTS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11124	82.7 %
1	-	87	0.6 %
2	-	81	0.6 %
3	-	75	0.6 %
4	-	81	0.6 %
5	-	78	0.6 %
6	-	51	0.4 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	43	0.3 %
9	-	22	0.2 %
10	-	54	0.4 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	39	0.3 %
13	-	21	0.2 %
14	-	17	0.1 %
15	-	39	0.3 %
16	-	25	0.2 %
17	-	18	0.1 %
18	-	19	0.1 %
19	-	15	0.1 %
20	-	22	0.2 %
21	-	4	0.0 %
22	-	6	0.0 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	12	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	4	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	14	0.1 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	4	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 366
- Standard Deviation: 8.27

Location: 244-246 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE1: Hosp Inp Clients/White

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, white

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11161	83.0 %
1	-	120	0.9 %
2	-	135	1.0 %
3	-	107	0.8 %
4	-	88	0.7 %
5	-	62	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	58	0.4 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	56	0.4 %
9	-	34	0.3 %
10	-	41	0.3 %
11	-	17	0.1 %
12	-	29	0.2 %
13	-	25	0.2 %
14	-	13	0.1 %
15	-	20	0.1 %
16	-	12	0.1 %
17	-	11	0.1 %
18	-	7	0.1 %
19	-	9	0.1 %
20	-	15	0.1 %
21	-	2	0.0 %
22	-	4	0.0 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	3	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.0 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	4	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	3	0.0 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	5	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	3	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.74
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 306
- Standard Deviation: 5.64

Location: 247-249 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE2: Hosp Inp Clients/Black

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, black or African American (not of Hispanic origin)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11538	85.8 %
1	-	162	1.2 %
2	-	99	0.7 %
3	-	81	0.6 %
4	-	45	0.3 %
5	-	42	0.3 %
6	-	27	0.2 %
7	-	13	0.1 %
8	-	18	0.1 %
9	-	12	0.1 %
10	-	16	0.1 %
11	-	11	0.1 %
12	-	7	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
13	-	6	0.0 %
14	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	4	0.0 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
21	-	2	0.0 %
22	-	4	0.0 %
25	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
129	-	1	0.0 %
159	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.32
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 159

- Standard Deviation: 3.01

Location: 250-252 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE3: Hosp Inp Clients/Hispanic

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11813	87.8 %
1	-	138	1.0 %
2	-	61	0.5 %
3	-	32	0.2 %
4	-	18	0.1 %
5	-	16	0.1 %
6	-	10	0.1 %
7	-	7	0.1 %
8	-	8	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	2	0.0 %
11	-	6	0.0 %
12	-	4	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
Missing Data			

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.11
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 40
- Standard Deviation: 1.12

Location: 253-254 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE4: Hosp Inp Clients Asian or Pacific

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, Asian or Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	12106	90.0 %
1	-	18	0.1 %
2	-	9	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 4
- Standard Deviation: 0.09

Location: 255-256 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE5: Hosp Inp Clients American Indian/A.N.

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, American Indian or Alaskan Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	12033	89.4 %
1	-	63	0.5 %
2	-	14	0.1 %
3	-	8	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	4	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.03
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 34
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

Location: 257-258 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE6: Hosp Inp Clients Of other race/ethnic

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, other race/ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	12104	90.0 %
1	-	25	0.2 %
2	-	3	0.0 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 8
- Standard Deviation: 0.13

Location: 259-260 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE7: Hosp Inp Unknown Clients race/ethnic

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Hospital inpatients, race/ethnicity not known

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00

- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 261-262 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE8: Hosp Inp Clients Race/ethnic total

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

TOTAL HOSPITAL INPATIENTS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11124	82.7 %
1	-	87	0.6 %
2	-	81	0.6 %
3	-	75	0.6 %
4	-	81	0.6 %
5	-	78	0.6 %
6	-	51	0.4 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	43	0.3 %
9	-	22	0.2 %
10	-	54	0.4 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	39	0.3 %
13	-	21	0.2 %
14	-	17	0.1 %
15	-	39	0.3 %
16	-	25	0.2 %
17	-	18	0.1 %
18	-	19	0.1 %
19	-	15	0.1 %
20	-	22	0.2 %
21	-	4	0.0 %
22	-	6	0.0 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	12	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
27	-	4	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	14	0.1 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	4	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 366
- Standard Deviation: 8.27

Location: 263-265 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE1: Hosp Inp Clients Under 18 years old

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of

the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, under 18 years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11910	88.5 %
1	-	91	0.7 %
2	-	35	0.3 %
3	-	13	0.1 %
4	-	12	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
6	-	9	0.1 %
7	-	5	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	9	0.1 %
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	3	0.0 %
13	-	3	0.0 %
14	-	2	0.0 %
15	-	5	0.0 %
16	-	4	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
174	-	1	0.0 %
285	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.17
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 285
- Standard Deviation: 3.61

Location: 266-268 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE2: Hosp Inp Clients Between age 18 - 20

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 18-20

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11876	88.3 %
1	-	143	1.1 %
2	-	54	0.4 %
3	-	23	0.2 %
4	-	10	0.1 %
5	-	10	0.1 %
6	-	6	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	5	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 35
- Standard Deviation: 0.58

Location: 269-270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE3: Hosp Inp Clients Between age 21 - 24

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 21-24

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11691	86.9 %
1	-	205	1.5 %
2	-	99	0.7 %
3	-	50	0.4 %
4	-	25	0.2 %
5	-	19	0.1 %
6	-	10	0.1 %
7	-	4	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.0 %
9	-	7	0.1 %
10	-	11	0.1 %
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	2	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.10
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 30
- Standard Deviation: 0.82

Location: 271-272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE4: Hosp Inp Clients Age 25-34 years

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 25-34

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11395	84.7 %
1	-	232	1.7 %
2	-	137	1.0 %
3	-	93	0.7 %
4	-	51	0.4 %
5	-	59	0.4 %
6	-	35	0.3 %
7	-	17	0.1 %
8	-	17	0.1 %
9	-	10	0.1 %
10	-	14	0.1 %
11	-	6	0.0 %
12	-	8	0.1 %
13	-	5	0.0 %
14	-	8	0.1 %
15	-	7	0.1 %
16	-	4	0.0 %
17	-	4	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	5	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
21	-	5	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
26	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.30
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 95
- Standard Deviation: 2.18

Location: 273-274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE5: Hosp Inp Clients Age 35-44 years

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 35-44

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11354	84.4 %
1	-	183	1.4 %
2	-	158	1.2 %
3	-	101	0.8 %
4	-	63	0.5 %
5	-	60	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	50	0.4 %
7	-	31	0.2 %
8	-	24	0.2 %
9	-	15	0.1 %
10	-	19	0.1 %
11	-	9	0.1 %
12	-	8	0.1 %
13	-	4	0.0 %
14	-	5	0.0 %
15	-	6	0.0 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	4	0.0 %
18	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
145	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.35
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 145
- Standard Deviation: 2.68

Location: 275-277 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE6: Hosp Inp Clients Age 45-64 years

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 45-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11495	85.4 %
1	-	259	1.9 %
2	-	125	0.9 %
3	-	84	0.6 %
4	-	54	0.4 %
5	-	19	0.1 %
6	-	18	0.1 %
7	-	12	0.1 %
8	-	9	0.1 %
9	-	12	0.1 %
10	-	9	0.1 %
11	-	8	0.1 %
12	-	8	0.1 %
13	-	4	0.0 %
14	-	3	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
30	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 1.68

Location: 278-280 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE7: Hosp Inp Clients Age 65 and over

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age 65 and over

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11938	88.7 %
1	-	126	0.9 %
2	-	42	0.3 %
3	-	13	0.1 %
4	-	6	0.0 %
5	-	4	0.0 %
7	-	4	0.0 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.04
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 66
- Standard Deviation: 0.69

Location: 281-282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE8: Hosp Inp Clients Age unknown

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Hospital inpatients, age unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 283-284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE9: Hosp Inp Clients Age total

How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

TOTAL HOSPITAL INPATIENTS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11124	82.7 %
1	-	87	0.6 %
2	-	81	0.6 %
3	-	75	0.6 %
4	-	81	0.6 %
5	-	78	0.6 %
6	-	51	0.4 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	43	0.3 %
9	-	22	0.2 %
10	-	54	0.4 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	39	0.3 %
13	-	21	0.2 %
14	-	17	0.1 %
15	-	39	0.3 %
16	-	25	0.2 %
17	-	18	0.1 %
18	-	19	0.1 %
19	-	15	0.1 %
20	-	22	0.2 %
21	-	4	0.0 %
22	-	6	0.0 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	12	0.1 %
25	-	14	0.1 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	4	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	14	0.1 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	4	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 366
- Standard Deviation: 8.27

Location: 285-287 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RCSVC: Residential (24hr) Treatment Offered

Were non-hospital residential substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	3263	24.3 %
2	No	8874	66.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 288-289 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RESBED: Total Beds - Residential (24hr) Clients

On October 1, 1998, how many beds were designated for non-hospital residential substance abuse treatment clients? This is often referred to as "maximum capacity". ###

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	41	0.3 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
2	-	10	0.1 %
3	-	7	0.1 %
4	-	18	0.1 %
5	-	35	0.3 %
6	-	95	0.7 %
7	-	25	0.2 %
8	-	98	0.7 %
9	-	33	0.2 %
10	-	124	0.9 %
11	-	21	0.2 %
12	-	194	1.4 %
13	-	49	0.4 %
14	-	103	0.8 %
15	-	126	0.9 %
16	-	147	1.1 %
17	-	40	0.3 %
18	-	89	0.7 %
19	-	32	0.2 %
20	-	181	1.3 %
21	-	43	0.3 %
22	-	67	0.5 %
23	-	28	0.2 %
24	-	127	0.9 %
25	-	68	0.5 %
26	-	48	0.4 %
27	-	17	0.1 %
28	-	39	0.3 %
29	-	14	0.1 %
30	-	102	0.8 %
31	-	14	0.1 %
32	-	47	0.3 %
33	-	16	0.1 %
34	-	21	0.2 %
35	-	39	0.3 %
36	-	48	0.4 %
37	-	18	0.1 %
38	-	24	0.2 %
39	-	11	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	89	0.7 %
41	-	13	0.1 %
42	-	32	0.2 %
43	-	9	0.1 %
44	-	17	0.1 %
45	-	28	0.2 %
46	-	18	0.1 %
47	-	6	0.0 %
48	-	37	0.3 %
49	-	9	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	10248	76.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,207 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 41.94
- Median: 23.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2000
- Standard Deviation: 81.96

Location: 290-293 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI3: Residential (24hr) Clients,detox

On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following non-hospital residential (24-hour care) substance abuse treatment services? ###

a. Residential clients, detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	256	1.9 %
1	-	43	0.3 %
2	-	91	0.7 %
3	-	68	0.5 %
4	-	55	0.4 %
5	-	38	0.3 %
6	-	60	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	23	0.2 %
8	-	31	0.2 %
9	-	12	0.1 %
10	-	32	0.2 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	23	0.2 %
13	-	9	0.1 %
14	-	11	0.1 %
15	-	17	0.1 %
16	-	17	0.1 %
17	-	8	0.1 %
18	-	5	0.0 %
19	-	8	0.1 %
20	-	9	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	7	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	4	0.0 %
25	-	6	0.0 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	6	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.0 %
31	-	3	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
52	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12536	93.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 919 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.31
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 400
- Standard Deviation: 19.05

Location: 294-296 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI4: Residential (24hr) Clients,rehab

On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following non-hospital residential (24-hour care) substance abuse treatment services? ###

b. Residential clients, rehabilitation

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	115	0.9 %
1	-	21	0.2 %
2	-	29	0.2 %
3	-	39	0.3 %
4	-	58	0.4 %
5	-	65	0.5 %
6	-	98	0.7 %
7	-	66	0.5 %
8	-	116	0.9 %
9	-	83	0.6 %
10	-	113	0.8 %
11	-	79	0.6 %
12	-	137	1.0 %
13	-	78	0.6 %
14	-	91	0.7 %
15	-	122	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
16	-	105	0.8 %
17	-	87	0.6 %
18	-	88	0.7 %
19	-	55	0.4 %
20	-	110	0.8 %
21	-	57	0.4 %
22	-	64	0.5 %
23	-	31	0.2 %
24	-	68	0.5 %
25	-	54	0.4 %
26	-	32	0.2 %
27	-	22	0.2 %
28	-	49	0.4 %
29	-	30	0.2 %
30	-	54	0.4 %
31	-	24	0.2 %
32	-	33	0.2 %
33	-	21	0.2 %
34	-	19	0.1 %
35	-	43	0.3 %
36	-	22	0.2 %
37	-	17	0.1 %
38	-	19	0.1 %
39	-	10	0.1 %
40	-	46	0.3 %
41	-	10	0.1 %
42	-	16	0.1 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	21	0.2 %
45	-	15	0.1 %
46	-	14	0.1 %
47	-	10	0.1 %
48	-	22	0.2 %
49	-	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	10393	77.2 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,062 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 32.76

- Median: 18.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1807
- Standard Deviation: 69.66

Location: 297-300 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLIRC: Total (24hr) Residential Clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	90	0.7 %
1	-	20	0.1 %
2	-	33	0.2 %
3	-	44	0.3 %
4	-	63	0.5 %
5	-	70	0.5 %
6	-	114	0.8 %
7	-	64	0.5 %
8	-	119	0.9 %
9	-	83	0.6 %
10	-	121	0.9 %
11	-	76	0.6 %
12	-	144	1.1 %
13	-	70	0.5 %
14	-	101	0.8 %
15	-	115	0.9 %
16	-	109	0.8 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	89	0.7 %
19	-	65	0.5 %
20	-	119	0.9 %
21	-	56	0.4 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	35	0.3 %
24	-	76	0.6 %
25	-	64	0.5 %
26	-	34	0.3 %
27	-	21	0.2 %
28	-	51	0.4 %
29	-	27	0.2 %
30	-	60	0.4 %
31	-	25	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	20	0.1 %
34	-	21	0.2 %
35	-	43	0.3 %
36	-	23	0.2 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	27	0.2 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	45	0.3 %
41	-	13	0.1 %
42	-	24	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	20	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.1 %
46	-	20	0.1 %
47	-	11	0.1 %
48	-	28	0.2 %
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	10231	76.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 3,224 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 33.49
- Median: 19.00
- Mode: 12.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1807
- Standard Deviation: 69.09

Location: 301-304 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RCMETLAM: Meth/LAAM Dispensed-Residential

On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL INPATIENTS reported in Q20c were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Does not dispense	3075	22.9 %
2	Does dispense	58	0.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	MISSING	10322	76.7 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 3,133 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 305-306 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RCMETNUM: Total Methadone Residential

Methadone clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	13	0.1 %
2	-	6	0.0 %
3	-	5	0.0 %
4	-	3	0.0 %
5	-	1	0.0 %
6	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	7	0.1 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13398	99.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 57 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 10.26
- Median: 6.00

- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 61
- Standard Deviation: 12.90

Location: 307-308 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RCLAMNUM: Total LAAM Residential

LAAM clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	57	0.4 %
1	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13397	99.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 58 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.02
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1
- Standard Deviation: 0.13

Location: 309-310 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX1: Residential Male Clients

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Residential clients, male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9548	71.0 %
1	-	31	0.2 %
2	-	50	0.4 %
3	-	66	0.5 %
4	-	77	0.6 %
5	-	65	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	104	0.8 %
7	-	79	0.6 %
8	-	114	0.8 %
9	-	80	0.6 %
10	-	110	0.8 %
11	-	71	0.5 %
12	-	114	0.8 %
13	-	57	0.4 %
14	-	75	0.6 %
15	-	103	0.8 %
16	-	74	0.5 %
17	-	53	0.4 %
18	-	77	0.6 %
19	-	44	0.3 %
20	-	73	0.5 %
21	-	51	0.4 %
22	-	53	0.4 %
23	-	30	0.2 %
24	-	55	0.4 %
25	-	52	0.4 %
26	-	30	0.2 %
27	-	18	0.1 %
28	-	31	0.2 %
29	-	30	0.2 %
30	-	52	0.4 %
31	-	16	0.1 %
32	-	27	0.2 %
33	-	10	0.1 %
34	-	16	0.1 %
35	-	31	0.2 %
36	-	17	0.1 %
37	-	19	0.1 %
38	-	20	0.1 %
39	-	7	0.1 %
40	-	31	0.2 %
41	-	12	0.1 %
42	-	14	0.1 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	13	0.1 %
45	-	15	0.1 %
46	-	17	0.1 %
47	-	14	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
48	-	19	0.1 %
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 6.62
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1478
- Standard Deviation: 32.78

Location: 311-314 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX2: Residential Female Clients

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Residential clients, female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10016	74.4 %
1	-	157	1.2 %
2	-	145	1.1 %
3	-	130	1.0 %
4	-	158	1.2 %
5	-	152	1.1 %
6	-	167	1.2 %
7	-	93	0.7 %
8	-	138	1.0 %
9	-	77	0.6 %
10	-	103	0.8 %
11	-	54	0.4 %
12	-	87	0.6 %
13	-	48	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	56	0.4 %
15	-	52	0.4 %
16	-	54	0.4 %
17	-	34	0.3 %
18	-	42	0.3 %
19	-	25	0.2 %
20	-	45	0.3 %
21	-	16	0.1 %
22	-	27	0.2 %
23	-	13	0.1 %
24	-	17	0.1 %
25	-	21	0.2 %
26	-	14	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	22	0.2 %
29	-	4	0.0 %
30	-	17	0.1 %
31	-	6	0.0 %
32	-	7	0.1 %
33	-	5	0.0 %
34	-	6	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.0 %
36	-	8	0.1 %
37	-	6	0.0 %
38	-	3	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	10	0.1 %
41	-	7	0.1 %
42	-	6	0.0 %
43	-	3	0.0 %
44	-	6	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	4	0.0 %
47	-	4	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 2.27
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 525
- Standard Deviation: 11.76

Location: 315-317 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX3: Residential Unknown Clients Gender

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Residential clients, gender unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 318-319 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX4: Residential Clients Gender Total

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

TOTAL Residential clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9003	66.9 %
1	-	20	0.1 %
2	-	33	0.2 %
3	-	44	0.3 %
4	-	63	0.5 %
5	-	70	0.5 %
6	-	114	0.8 %
7	-	64	0.5 %
8	-	119	0.9 %
9	-	83	0.6 %
10	-	121	0.9 %
11	-	76	0.6 %
12	-	144	1.1 %
13	-	70	0.5 %
14	-	101	0.8 %
15	-	115	0.9 %
16	-	109	0.8 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	89	0.7 %
19	-	65	0.5 %
20	-	119	0.9 %
21	-	56	0.4 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	35	0.3 %
24	-	76	0.6 %
25	-	64	0.5 %
26	-	34	0.3 %
27	-	21	0.2 %
28	-	51	0.4 %
29	-	27	0.2 %
30	-	60	0.4 %
31	-	25	0.2 %
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	20	0.1 %
34	-	21	0.2 %
35	-	43	0.3 %
36	-	23	0.2 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	27	0.2 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	45	0.3 %
41	-	13	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
42	-	24	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	20	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.1 %
46	-	20	0.1 %
47	-	11	0.1 %
48	-	28	0.2 %
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1807
- Standard Deviation: 38.56

Location: 320-323 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE1: Residential Clients/White

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, white

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9204	68.4 %
1	-	112	0.8 %
2	-	162	1.2 %
3	-	154	1.1 %
4	-	146	1.1 %
5	-	153	1.1 %
6	-	146	1.1 %
7	-	118	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	159	1.2 %
9	-	120	0.9 %
10	-	152	1.1 %
11	-	113	0.8 %
12	-	122	0.9 %
13	-	76	0.6 %
14	-	89	0.7 %
15	-	90	0.7 %
16	-	72	0.5 %
17	-	60	0.4 %
18	-	60	0.4 %
19	-	53	0.4 %
20	-	71	0.5 %
21	-	45	0.3 %
22	-	46	0.3 %
23	-	30	0.2 %
24	-	32	0.2 %
25	-	42	0.3 %
26	-	32	0.2 %
27	-	24	0.2 %
28	-	33	0.2 %
29	-	23	0.2 %
30	-	37	0.3 %
31	-	17	0.1 %
32	-	19	0.1 %
33	-	13	0.1 %
34	-	16	0.1 %
35	-	27	0.2 %
36	-	11	0.1 %
37	-	17	0.1 %
38	-	11	0.1 %
39	-	11	0.1 %
40	-	15	0.1 %
41	-	6	0.0 %
42	-	7	0.1 %
43	-	7	0.1 %
44	-	8	0.1 %
45	-	5	0.0 %
46	-	4	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	9	0.1 %
49	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 4.19
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 927
- Standard Deviation: 16.73

Location: 324-326 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE2: Residential Clients/Black

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, black or African American (not of Hispanic origin)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9642	71.7 %
1	-	309	2.3 %
2	-	285	2.1 %
3	-	221	1.6 %
4	-	184	1.4 %
5	-	176	1.3 %
6	-	125	0.9 %
7	-	99	0.7 %
8	-	109	0.8 %
9	-	72	0.5 %
10	-	99	0.7 %
11	-	45	0.3 %
12	-	51	0.4 %
13	-	41	0.3 %
14	-	48	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	50	0.4 %
16	-	33	0.2 %
17	-	32	0.2 %
18	-	30	0.2 %
19	-	22	0.2 %
20	-	36	0.3 %
21	-	20	0.1 %
22	-	25	0.2 %
23	-	23	0.2 %
24	-	17	0.1 %
25	-	19	0.1 %
26	-	13	0.1 %
27	-	13	0.1 %
28	-	10	0.1 %
29	-	12	0.1 %
30	-	16	0.1 %
31	-	10	0.1 %
32	-	6	0.0 %
33	-	11	0.1 %
34	-	4	0.0 %
35	-	13	0.1 %
36	-	7	0.1 %
37	-	10	0.1 %
38	-	6	0.0 %
39	-	7	0.1 %
40	-	11	0.1 %
41	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	10	0.1 %
43	-	3	0.0 %
44	-	4	0.0 %
45	-	7	0.1 %
46	-	5	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 3.08
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 772
- Standard Deviation: 17.34

Location: 327-329 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE3: Residential Clients/Hispanic

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10471	77.8 %
1	-	512	3.8 %
2	-	277	2.1 %
3	-	157	1.2 %
4	-	108	0.8 %
5	-	92	0.7 %
6	-	84	0.6 %
7	-	25	0.2 %
8	-	32	0.2 %
9	-	34	0.3 %
10	-	56	0.4 %
11	-	19	0.1 %
12	-	22	0.2 %
13	-	18	0.1 %
14	-	18	0.1 %
15	-	26	0.2 %
16	-	10	0.1 %
17	-	11	0.1 %
18	-	7	0.1 %
19	-	9	0.1 %
20	-	12	0.1 %
21	-	7	0.1 %
22	-	5	0.0 %
23	-	8	0.1 %
24	-	6	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	10	0.1 %
26	-	5	0.0 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	2	0.0 %
29	-	4	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	3	0.0 %
37	-	3	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 359
- Standard Deviation: 10.29

Location: 330-332 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE4: Residential Clients Asian or Pacific

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, Asian or Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11863	88.2 %
1	-	187	1.4 %
2	-	44	0.3 %
3	-	16	0.1 %
4	-	4	0.0 %
5	-	3	0.0 %
6	-	4	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 66
- Standard Deviation: 0.95

Location: 333-334 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE5: Residential Clients American Indian/A.N.

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

- 111 -

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, American Indian or Alaskan Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11494	85.4 %
1	-	302	2.2 %
2	-	109	0.8 %
3	-	48	0.4 %
4	-	36	0.3 %
5	-	24	0.2 %
6	-	15	0.1 %
7	-	14	0.1 %
8	-	10	0.1 %
9	-	7	0.1 %
10	-	10	0.1 %
11	-	5	0.0 %
12	-	11	0.1 %
13	-	7	0.1 %
14	-	8	0.1 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
16	-	5	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	5	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.0 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
200	-	1	0.0 %
275	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.25
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 275
- Standard Deviation: 3.46

Location: 335-337 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE6: Residential Clients Of other race/ethnic

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, other race/ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11884	88.3 %
1	-	139	1.0 %
2	-	50	0.4 %
3	-	22	0.2 %
4	-	12	0.1 %
5	-	7	0.1 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	3	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.06

- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 72
- Standard Deviation: 1.07

Location: 338-339 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE7: Residential Unknown Clients race/ethnic

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Residential clients, race/ethnicity not known

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 340-341 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE8: Residential Clients Race/ethnic total

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

TOTAL Residential clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9003	66.9 %
1	-	20	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	33	0.2 %
3	-	44	0.3 %
4	-	63	0.5 %
5	-	70	0.5 %
6	-	114	0.8 %
7	-	64	0.5 %
8	-	119	0.9 %
9	-	83	0.6 %
10	-	121	0.9 %
11	-	76	0.6 %
12	-	144	1.1 %
13	-	70	0.5 %
14	-	101	0.8 %
15	-	115	0.9 %
16	-	109	0.8 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	89	0.7 %
19	-	65	0.5 %
20	-	119	0.9 %
21	-	56	0.4 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	35	0.3 %
24	-	76	0.6 %
25	-	64	0.5 %
26	-	34	0.3 %
27	-	21	0.2 %
28	-	51	0.4 %
29	-	27	0.2 %
30	-	60	0.4 %
31	-	25	0.2 %
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	20	0.1 %
34	-	21	0.2 %
35	-	43	0.3 %
36	-	23	0.2 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	27	0.2 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	45	0.3 %
41	-	13	0.1 %
42	-	24	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
44	-	20	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.1 %
46	-	20	0.1 %
47	-	11	0.1 %
48	-	28	0.2 %
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1807
- Standard Deviation: 38.56

Location: 342-345 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE1: Residential Clients Under 18 years old

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, under 18 years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11289	83.9 %
1	-	151	1.1 %
2	-	101	0.8 %
3	-	44	0.3 %
4	-	42	0.3 %
5	-	34	0.3 %
6	-	23	0.2 %
7	-	25	0.2 %
8	-	30	0.2 %
9	-	29	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	24	0.2 %
11	-	21	0.2 %
12	-	27	0.2 %
13	-	24	0.2 %
14	-	26	0.2 %
15	-	26	0.2 %
16	-	26	0.2 %
17	-	11	0.1 %
18	-	18	0.1 %
19	-	11	0.1 %
20	-	14	0.1 %
21	-	8	0.1 %
22	-	11	0.1 %
23	-	6	0.0 %
24	-	8	0.1 %
25	-	7	0.1 %
26	-	4	0.0 %
27	-	6	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	5	0.0 %
31	-	5	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	5	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.0 %
36	-	3	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.97
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 361
- Standard Deviation: 7.52

Location: 346-348 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE2: Residential Clients Between Age 18 - 20

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 18-20

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10495	78.0 %
1	-	624	4.6 %
2	-	382	2.8 %
3	-	162	1.2 %
4	-	121	0.9 %
5	-	84	0.6 %
6	-	55	0.4 %
7	-	28	0.2 %
8	-	20	0.1 %
9	-	13	0.1 %
10	-	31	0.2 %
11	-	7	0.1 %
12	-	10	0.1 %
13	-	5	0.0 %
14	-	8	0.1 %
15	-	9	0.1 %
16	-	9	0.1 %
17	-	7	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	3	0.0 %
20	-	10	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	8	0.1 %
25	-	6	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	2	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
101	-	1	0.0 %
108	-	1	0.0 %
129	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.58
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 129
- Standard Deviation: 3.50

R_AGE3: Residential Clients Between Age 21 - 24

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 21-24

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10014	74.4 %
1	-	481	3.6 %
2	-	421	3.1 %
3	-	305	2.3 %
4	-	199	1.5 %
5	-	149	1.1 %
6	-	108	0.8 %
7	-	63	0.5 %
8	-	53	0.4 %
9	-	31	0.2 %
10	-	79	0.6 %
11	-	31	0.2 %
12	-	21	0.2 %
13	-	7	0.1 %
14	-	13	0.1 %
15	-	13	0.1 %
16	-	12	0.1 %
17	-	7	0.1 %
18	-	9	0.1 %
19	-	7	0.1 %
20	-	19	0.1 %
21	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	7	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	9	0.1 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	5	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	3	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	4	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	4	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	4	0.0 %
51	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.06
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 250
- Standard Deviation: 5.66

Location: 352-354 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE4: Residential Clients Age 25-34 Years

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 25-34

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9520	70.8 %
1	-	181	1.3 %
2	-	222	1.6 %
3	-	197	1.5 %
4	-	221	1.6 %
5	-	200	1.5 %
6	-	178	1.3 %
7	-	146	1.1 %
8	-	139	1.0 %
9	-	115	0.9 %
10	-	147	1.1 %
11	-	67	0.5 %
12	-	96	0.7 %
13	-	40	0.3 %
14	-	43	0.3 %
15	-	53	0.4 %
16	-	41	0.3 %
17	-	39	0.3 %
18	-	32	0.2 %
19	-	25	0.2 %
20	-	53	0.4 %
21	-	20	0.1 %
22	-	20	0.1 %
23	-	22	0.2 %
24	-	13	0.1 %
25	-	29	0.2 %
26	-	19	0.1 %
27	-	9	0.1 %
28	-	11	0.1 %
29	-	6	0.0 %
30	-	31	0.2 %
31	-	6	0.0 %
32	-	5	0.0 %
33	-	13	0.1 %
34	-	4	0.0 %
35	-	11	0.1 %
36	-	7	0.1 %
37	-	9	0.1 %
38	-	9	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	12	0.1 %
41	-	2	0.0 %
42	-	4	0.0 %
43	-	4	0.0 %
44	-	3	0.0 %
45	-	10	0.1 %
46	-	6	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 2.85
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 649
- Standard Deviation: 14.37

Location: 355-357 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE5: Residential Clients Age 35-44 Years

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 35-44

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9601	71.4 %
1	-	192	1.4 %
2	-	277	2.1 %
3	-	248	1.8 %
4	-	199	1.5 %
5	-	199	1.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	171	1.3 %
7	-	139	1.0 %
8	-	147	1.1 %
9	-	93	0.7 %
10	-	118	0.9 %
11	-	68	0.5 %
12	-	69	0.5 %
13	-	37	0.3 %
14	-	43	0.3 %
15	-	59	0.4 %
16	-	42	0.3 %
17	-	28	0.2 %
18	-	23	0.2 %
19	-	29	0.2 %
20	-	38	0.3 %
21	-	29	0.2 %
22	-	16	0.1 %
23	-	10	0.1 %
24	-	10	0.1 %
25	-	21	0.2 %
26	-	8	0.1 %
27	-	10	0.1 %
28	-	12	0.1 %
29	-	7	0.1 %
30	-	19	0.1 %
31	-	9	0.1 %
32	-	6	0.0 %
33	-	7	0.1 %
34	-	11	0.1 %
35	-	9	0.1 %
36	-	5	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	6	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	9	0.1 %
41	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	5	0.0 %
43	-	9	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.0 %
45	-	7	0.1 %
46	-	3	0.0 %
47	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
48	-	2	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 2.47
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 744
- Standard Deviation: 13.14

Location: 358-360 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE6: Residential Clients Age 45-64 Years

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 45-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10216	75.9 %
1	-	465	3.5 %
2	-	378	2.8 %
3	-	242	1.8 %
4	-	155	1.2 %
5	-	145	1.1 %
6	-	101	0.8 %
7	-	59	0.4 %
8	-	58	0.4 %
9	-	41	0.3 %
10	-	63	0.5 %
11	-	27	0.2 %
12	-	16	0.1 %
13	-	19	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	16	0.1 %
15	-	18	0.1 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	12	0.1 %
18	-	8	0.1 %
19	-	6	0.0 %
20	-	14	0.1 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	7	0.1 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	6	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
27	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	5	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	4	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	2	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	3	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.91
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 250
- Standard Deviation: 5.22

Location: 361-363 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE7: Residential Clients Age 65 and Over

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age 65 and over

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	11867	88.2 %
1	-	174	1.3 %
2	-	48	0.4 %
3	-	17	0.1 %
4	-	5	0.0 %
5	-	10	0.1 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	3	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.05
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 50
- Standard Deviation: 0.75

R_AGE8: Residential Clients Age Unknown

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Residential clients, age unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

R_AGE9: Residential Clients Age Total

How many of the total Residential clients in Q20c were in each of
the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

TOTAL Residential clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9003	66.9 %
1	-	20	0.1 %
2	-	33	0.2 %
3	-	44	0.3 %
4	-	63	0.5 %
5	-	70	0.5 %
6	-	114	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	64	0.5 %
8	-	119	0.9 %
9	-	83	0.6 %
10	-	121	0.9 %
11	-	76	0.6 %
12	-	144	1.1 %
13	-	70	0.5 %
14	-	101	0.8 %
15	-	115	0.9 %
16	-	109	0.8 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	89	0.7 %
19	-	65	0.5 %
20	-	119	0.9 %
21	-	56	0.4 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	35	0.3 %
24	-	76	0.6 %
25	-	64	0.5 %
26	-	34	0.3 %
27	-	21	0.2 %
28	-	51	0.4 %
29	-	27	0.2 %
30	-	60	0.4 %
31	-	25	0.2 %
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	20	0.1 %
34	-	21	0.2 %
35	-	43	0.3 %
36	-	23	0.2 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	27	0.2 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	45	0.3 %
41	-	13	0.1 %
42	-	24	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	20	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.1 %
46	-	20	0.1 %
47	-	11	0.1 %
48	-	28	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1318	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,137 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.90
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1807
- Standard Deviation: 38.56

Location: 368-371 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OUTSVC: Outpatient Treatment Offered-Yes/No

Were outpatient substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	9822	73.0 %
2	No	2317	17.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 372-373 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI7: Clients in Outpatient Detox

In the 30 days between September 1 and October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following outpatient substance abuse treatment services? ###

a. Outpatient detoxification

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	695	5.2 %
1	-	86	0.6 %
2	-	111	0.8 %
3	-	70	0.5 %
4	-	47	0.3 %
5	-	59	0.4 %
6	-	33	0.2 %
7	-	23	0.2 %
8	-	27	0.2 %
9	-	14	0.1 %
10	-	74	0.5 %
11	-	10	0.1 %
12	-	16	0.1 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	12	0.1 %
15	-	25	0.2 %
16	-	15	0.1 %
17	-	4	0.0 %
18	-	6	0.0 %
19	-	9	0.1 %
20	-	21	0.2 %
21	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	9	0.1 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	18	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	25	0.2 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	5	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	8	0.1 %
37	-	6	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	10	0.1 %
41	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
42	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	3	0.0 %
45	-	6	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	2	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	11826	87.9 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,629 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 18.85
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 957
- Standard Deviation: 63.35

Location: 374-376 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI6: Clients in Intensive outpatients

In the 30 days between September 1 and October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following outpatient substance abuse treatment services? ###

b. Intensive outpatient care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1061	7.9 %
1	-	111	0.8 %
2	-	135	1.0 %
3	-	123	0.9 %
4	-	129	1.0 %
5	-	169	1.3 %
6	-	145	1.1 %
7	-	110	0.8 %
8	-	154	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	-	100	0.7 %
10	-	248	1.8 %
11	-	87	0.6 %
12	-	171	1.3 %
13	-	66	0.5 %
14	-	79	0.6 %
15	-	186	1.4 %
16	-	71	0.5 %
17	-	59	0.4 %
18	-	99	0.7 %
19	-	47	0.3 %
20	-	206	1.5 %
21	-	51	0.4 %
22	-	66	0.5 %
23	-	43	0.3 %
24	-	64	0.5 %
25	-	123	0.9 %
26	-	48	0.4 %
27	-	40	0.3 %
28	-	36	0.3 %
29	-	26	0.2 %
30	-	141	1.0 %
31	-	27	0.2 %
32	-	34	0.3 %
33	-	31	0.2 %
34	-	36	0.3 %
35	-	56	0.4 %
36	-	28	0.2 %
37	-	22	0.2 %
38	-	23	0.2 %
39	-	19	0.1 %
40	-	77	0.6 %
41	-	12	0.1 %
42	-	28	0.2 %
43	-	24	0.2 %
44	-	10	0.1 %
45	-	35	0.3 %
46	-	8	0.1 %
47	-	13	0.1 %
48	-	19	0.1 %
49	-	17	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	MISSING	7744	57.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 5,711 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 34.73
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3437
- Standard Deviation: 96.71

Location: 377-380 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

T_CLI5: Clients in Other Outpatient Care

In the 30 days between September 1 and October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following outpatient substance abuse treatment services? ###

c. Other outpatient care

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	872	6.5 %
1	-	106	0.8 %
2	-	190	1.4 %
3	-	157	1.2 %
4	-	154	1.1 %
5	-	214	1.6 %
6	-	140	1.0 %
7	-	116	0.9 %
8	-	138	1.0 %
9	-	77	0.6 %
10	-	272	2.0 %
11	-	69	0.5 %
12	-	153	1.1 %
13	-	78	0.6 %
14	-	79	0.6 %
15	-	222	1.6 %
16	-	68	0.5 %
17	-	69	0.5 %
18	-	78	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	68	0.5 %
20	-	283	2.1 %
21	-	50	0.4 %
22	-	69	0.5 %
23	-	60	0.4 %
24	-	54	0.4 %
25	-	185	1.4 %
26	-	54	0.4 %
27	-	46	0.3 %
28	-	69	0.5 %
29	-	42	0.3 %
30	-	200	1.5 %
31	-	37	0.3 %
32	-	59	0.4 %
33	-	51	0.4 %
34	-	40	0.3 %
35	-	108	0.8 %
36	-	37	0.3 %
37	-	36	0.3 %
38	-	50	0.4 %
39	-	23	0.2 %
40	-	181	1.3 %
41	-	30	0.2 %
42	-	46	0.3 %
43	-	38	0.3 %
44	-	24	0.2 %
45	-	90	0.7 %
46	-	27	0.2 %
47	-	37	0.3 %
48	-	39	0.3 %
49	-	23	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4656	34.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 8,799 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 78.05
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2500
- Standard Deviation: 146.23

T_CLIOP: Total Number of Outpatient Clients

In the 30 days between September 1 and October 1, 1998, how many
clients at this facility received the following outpatient
substance abuse treatment services? ###

d. TOTAL OUTPATIENTS (a+b+c)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	306	2.3 %
1	-	90	0.7 %
2	-	145	1.1 %
3	-	143	1.1 %
4	-	136	1.0 %
5	-	185	1.4 %
6	-	129	1.0 %
7	-	132	1.0 %
8	-	149	1.1 %
9	-	92	0.7 %
10	-	237	1.8 %
11	-	81	0.6 %
12	-	161	1.2 %
13	-	90	0.7 %
14	-	89	0.7 %
15	-	242	1.8 %
16	-	95	0.7 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	107	0.8 %
19	-	84	0.6 %
20	-	284	2.1 %
21	-	57	0.4 %
22	-	83	0.6 %
23	-	71	0.5 %
24	-	80	0.6 %
25	-	179	1.3 %
26	-	72	0.5 %
27	-	56	0.4 %
28	-	84	0.6 %
29	-	52	0.4 %
30	-	219	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	48	0.4 %
32	-	78	0.6 %
33	-	53	0.4 %
34	-	56	0.4 %
35	-	113	0.8 %
36	-	52	0.4 %
37	-	55	0.4 %
38	-	66	0.5 %
39	-	44	0.3 %
40	-	165	1.2 %
41	-	43	0.3 %
42	-	60	0.4 %
43	-	54	0.4 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	109	0.8 %
46	-	43	0.3 %
47	-	51	0.4 %
48	-	61	0.5 %
49	-	35	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3742	27.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 9,713 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 94.29
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3437
- Standard Deviation: 169.15

Location: 385-388 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OPMETLAM: Meth/LAAM Dispensed-Outpatients

On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL OUTPATIENTS reported in Q15 were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Does not dispense	8729	64.9 %
2	Does dispense	694	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4032	30.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 9,423 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 389-390 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OPMETNUM: Total Methadone Outpatients

Methadone clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2	0.0 %
1	-	9	0.1 %
2	-	9	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	6	0.0 %
6	-	2	0.0 %
7	-	3	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	3	0.0 %
10	-	6	0.0 %
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	2	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	3	0.0 %
16	-	2	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	3	0.0 %
25	-	6	0.0 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	2	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	2	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	2	0.0 %
45	-	6	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	4	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
50	-	6	0.0 %
51	-	2	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	5	0.0 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12762	94.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 693 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 208.24
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2700
- Standard Deviation: 206.68

Location: 391-394 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OPLAMNUM: Total LAAM Outpatients

LAAM clients at this location.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	539	4.0 %
1	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	4	0.0 %
3	-	8	0.1 %
4	-	4	0.0 %
5	-	11	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	4	0.0 %
8	-	6	0.0 %
9	-	6	0.0 %
10	-	6	0.0 %
11	-	4	0.0 %
12	-	6	0.0 %
13	-	3	0.0 %
14	-	5	0.0 %
15	-	6	0.0 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	3	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	4	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	3	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.0 %
42	-	3	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	2	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.0 %
55	-	3	0.0 %
57	-	2	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
63	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
66	-	1	0.0 %
79	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
81	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12770	94.9 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 685 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 4.99
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 208
- Standard Deviation: 15.66

Location: 395-397 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX1: Outpatient Male Clients

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Outpatient clients, male

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3069	22.8 %
1	-	159	1.2 %
2	-	223	1.7 %
3	-	232	1.7 %
4	-	190	1.4 %
5	-	223	1.7 %
6	-	192	1.4 %
7	-	167	1.2 %
8	-	209	1.6 %
9	-	169	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	220	1.6 %
11	-	132	1.0 %
12	-	208	1.5 %
13	-	125	0.9 %
14	-	153	1.1 %
15	-	192	1.4 %
16	-	101	0.8 %
17	-	120	0.9 %
18	-	135	1.0 %
19	-	80	0.6 %
20	-	207	1.5 %
21	-	101	0.8 %
22	-	90	0.7 %
23	-	74	0.5 %
24	-	98	0.7 %
25	-	146	1.1 %
26	-	89	0.7 %
27	-	81	0.6 %
28	-	99	0.7 %
29	-	56	0.4 %
30	-	174	1.3 %
31	-	65	0.5 %
32	-	68	0.5 %
33	-	63	0.5 %
34	-	63	0.5 %
35	-	111	0.8 %
36	-	58	0.4 %
37	-	55	0.4 %
38	-	59	0.4 %
39	-	65	0.5 %
40	-	134	1.0 %
41	-	52	0.4 %
42	-	58	0.4 %
43	-	48	0.4 %
44	-	48	0.4 %
45	-	99	0.7 %
46	-	50	0.4 %
47	-	55	0.4 %
48	-	64	0.5 %
49	-	38	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 51.43
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2500
- Standard Deviation: 106.74

Location: 398-401 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX2: Outpatient Female Clients

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Outpatient clients, female

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3426	25.5 %
1	-	487	3.6 %
2	-	547	4.1 %
3	-	430	3.2 %
4	-	358	2.7 %
5	-	452	3.4 %
6	-	294	2.2 %
7	-	245	1.8 %
8	-	271	2.0 %
9	-	203	1.5 %
10	-	412	3.1 %
11	-	171	1.3 %
12	-	187	1.4 %
13	-	144	1.1 %
14	-	144	1.1 %
15	-	256	1.9 %
16	-	108	0.8 %
17	-	128	1.0 %
18	-	101	0.8 %
19	-	98	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	232	1.7 %
21	-	110	0.8 %
22	-	89	0.7 %
23	-	83	0.6 %
24	-	84	0.6 %
25	-	160	1.2 %
26	-	68	0.5 %
27	-	87	0.6 %
28	-	74	0.5 %
29	-	54	0.4 %
30	-	128	1.0 %
31	-	58	0.4 %
32	-	79	0.6 %
33	-	60	0.4 %
34	-	69	0.5 %
35	-	80	0.6 %
36	-	52	0.4 %
37	-	44	0.3 %
38	-	52	0.4 %
39	-	35	0.3 %
40	-	82	0.6 %
41	-	45	0.3 %
42	-	50	0.4 %
43	-	27	0.2 %
44	-	44	0.3 %
45	-	55	0.4 %
46	-	33	0.2 %
47	-	40	0.3 %
48	-	30	0.2 %
49	-	26	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 24.01
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1965
- Standard Deviation: 58.21

Location: 402-405 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX3: Outpatient Clients Gender,Unknown

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

GENDER

Outpatient clients, gender unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 406-407 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX4: Outpatient Clients Gender,Total

TOTAL Outpatients
How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2732	20.3 %
1	-	90	0.7 %
2	-	145	1.1 %
3	-	143	1.1 %
4	-	136	1.0 %
5	-	185	1.4 %
6	-	129	1.0 %
7	-	132	1.0 %
8	-	149	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	-	92	0.7 %
10	-	237	1.8 %
11	-	81	0.6 %
12	-	161	1.2 %
13	-	90	0.7 %
14	-	89	0.7 %
15	-	242	1.8 %
16	-	95	0.7 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	107	0.8 %
19	-	84	0.6 %
20	-	284	2.1 %
21	-	57	0.4 %
22	-	83	0.6 %
23	-	71	0.5 %
24	-	80	0.6 %
25	-	179	1.3 %
26	-	72	0.5 %
27	-	56	0.4 %
28	-	84	0.6 %
29	-	52	0.4 %
30	-	219	1.6 %
31	-	48	0.4 %
32	-	78	0.6 %
33	-	53	0.4 %
34	-	56	0.4 %
35	-	113	0.8 %
36	-	52	0.4 %
37	-	55	0.4 %
38	-	66	0.5 %
39	-	44	0.3 %
40	-	165	1.2 %
41	-	43	0.3 %
42	-	60	0.4 %
43	-	54	0.4 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	109	0.8 %
46	-	43	0.3 %
47	-	51	0.4 %
48	-	61	0.5 %
49	-	35	0.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 75.44
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3437
- Standard Deviation: 155.94

Location: 408-411 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE1: Outpatient Clients/white

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the
following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, white

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3129	23.3 %
1	-	236	1.8 %
2	-	325	2.4 %
3	-	287	2.1 %
4	-	231	1.7 %
5	-	268	2.0 %
6	-	213	1.6 %
7	-	184	1.4 %
8	-	211	1.6 %
9	-	171	1.3 %
10	-	257	1.9 %
11	-	142	1.1 %
12	-	183	1.4 %
13	-	131	1.0 %
14	-	151	1.1 %
15	-	198	1.5 %
16	-	124	0.9 %
17	-	129	1.0 %
18	-	145	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	84	0.6 %
20	-	197	1.5 %
21	-	100	0.7 %
22	-	104	0.8 %
23	-	81	0.6 %
24	-	91	0.7 %
25	-	129	1.0 %
26	-	85	0.6 %
27	-	81	0.6 %
28	-	97	0.7 %
29	-	57	0.4 %
30	-	135	1.0 %
31	-	66	0.5 %
32	-	65	0.5 %
33	-	65	0.5 %
34	-	65	0.5 %
35	-	94	0.7 %
36	-	53	0.4 %
37	-	50	0.4 %
38	-	56	0.4 %
39	-	61	0.5 %
40	-	127	0.9 %
41	-	50	0.4 %
42	-	65	0.5 %
43	-	40	0.3 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	85	0.6 %
46	-	39	0.3 %
47	-	36	0.3 %
48	-	63	0.5 %
49	-	32	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
-1	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,138 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 44.98
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3000

- Standard Deviation: 95.73

Location: 412-415 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9 , -1

O_RE2: Outpatient Clients/black

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, black or African American (not of Hispanic origin)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5150	38.3 %
1	-	976	7.3 %
2	-	732	5.4 %
3	-	470	3.5 %
4	-	330	2.5 %
5	-	378	2.8 %
6	-	253	1.9 %
7	-	142	1.1 %
8	-	190	1.4 %
9	-	153	1.1 %
10	-	269	2.0 %
11	-	110	0.8 %
12	-	129	1.0 %
13	-	93	0.7 %
14	-	102	0.8 %
15	-	133	1.0 %
16	-	78	0.6 %
17	-	72	0.5 %
18	-	82	0.6 %
19	-	54	0.4 %
20	-	126	0.9 %
21	-	61	0.5 %
22	-	63	0.5 %
23	-	58	0.4 %
24	-	44	0.3 %
25	-	74	0.5 %
26	-	44	0.3 %
27	-	38	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
28	-	46	0.3 %
29	-	40	0.3 %
30	-	71	0.5 %
31	-	30	0.2 %
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	23	0.2 %
34	-	45	0.3 %
35	-	38	0.3 %
36	-	29	0.2 %
37	-	27	0.2 %
38	-	22	0.2 %
39	-	21	0.2 %
40	-	44	0.3 %
41	-	24	0.2 %
42	-	24	0.2 %
43	-	14	0.1 %
44	-	28	0.2 %
45	-	39	0.3 %
46	-	14	0.1 %
47	-	23	0.2 %
48	-	24	0.2 %
49	-	21	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 17.02
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3189
- Standard Deviation: 59.90

Location: 416-419 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE3: Outpatient Clients/hispanic

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, Hispanic

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	6534	48.6 %
1	-	1076	8.0 %
2	-	778	5.8 %
3	-	497	3.7 %
4	-	301	2.2 %
5	-	305	2.3 %
6	-	206	1.5 %
7	-	142	1.1 %
8	-	157	1.2 %
9	-	98	0.7 %
10	-	182	1.4 %
11	-	86	0.6 %
12	-	79	0.6 %
13	-	56	0.4 %
14	-	53	0.4 %
15	-	100	0.7 %
16	-	49	0.4 %
17	-	31	0.2 %
18	-	40	0.3 %
19	-	45	0.3 %
20	-	91	0.7 %
21	-	45	0.3 %
22	-	41	0.3 %
23	-	38	0.3 %
24	-	23	0.2 %
25	-	51	0.4 %
26	-	23	0.2 %
27	-	31	0.2 %
28	-	26	0.2 %
29	-	14	0.1 %
30	-	47	0.3 %
31	-	19	0.1 %
32	-	17	0.1 %
33	-	16	0.1 %
34	-	18	0.1 %
35	-	22	0.2 %
36	-	18	0.1 %
37	-	15	0.1 %
38	-	18	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
39	-	12	0.1 %
40	-	29	0.2 %
41	-	15	0.1 %
42	-	16	0.1 %
43	-	12	0.1 %
44	-	10	0.1 %
45	-	20	0.1 %
46	-	10	0.1 %
47	-	9	0.1 %
48	-	7	0.1 %
49	-	8	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 10.20
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2260
- Standard Deviation: 43.45

Location: 420-423 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE4: Outpatient Clients Asian or Pacific

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, Asian or Pacific Islander

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10428	77.5 %
1	-	788	5.9 %
2	-	333	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	148	1.1 %
4	-	105	0.8 %
5	-	73	0.5 %
6	-	29	0.2 %
7	-	19	0.1 %
8	-	18	0.1 %
9	-	16	0.1 %
10	-	31	0.2 %
11	-	16	0.1 %
12	-	18	0.1 %
13	-	5	0.0 %
14	-	6	0.0 %
15	-	10	0.1 %
16	-	4	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	5	0.0 %
20	-	11	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	5	0.0 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.0 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	3	0.0 %
31	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	4	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
57	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.71
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 402
- Standard Deviation: 6.01

Location: 424-426 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE5: Outpatient Clients American Indian/A.N.

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, American Indian or Alaskan Native

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9550	71.0 %
1	-	956	7.1 %
2	-	468	3.5 %
3	-	211	1.6 %
4	-	162	1.2 %
5	-	131	1.0 %
6	-	71	0.5 %
7	-	64	0.5 %
8	-	47	0.3 %
9	-	37	0.3 %
10	-	60	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11	-	18	0.1 %
12	-	31	0.2 %
13	-	23	0.2 %
14	-	20	0.1 %
15	-	15	0.1 %
16	-	13	0.1 %
17	-	18	0.1 %
18	-	13	0.1 %
19	-	10	0.1 %
20	-	19	0.1 %
22	-	13	0.1 %
23	-	8	0.1 %
24	-	4	0.0 %
25	-	10	0.1 %
26	-	6	0.0 %
27	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	6	0.0 %
29	-	4	0.0 %
30	-	7	0.1 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	5	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
36	-	4	0.0 %
37	-	3	0.0 %
38	-	6	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.0 %
40	-	6	0.0 %
41	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	2	0.0 %
45	-	3	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
50	-	7	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.92
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 772
- Standard Deviation: 15.70

Location: 427-429 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE6: Outpatient Clients of other race/ethnic

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, other race/ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10760	80.0 %
1	-	542	4.0 %
2	-	257	1.9 %
3	-	135	1.0 %
4	-	94	0.7 %
5	-	76	0.6 %
6	-	52	0.4 %
7	-	41	0.3 %
8	-	28	0.2 %
9	-	15	0.1 %
10	-	22	0.2 %
11	-	13	0.1 %
12	-	5	0.0 %
13	-	12	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.0 %
15	-	7	0.1 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	3	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	4	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	3	0.0 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	3	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	2	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	2	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
83	-	1	0.0 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
97	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.61
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 159
- Standard Deviation: 4.75

Location: 430-432 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE7: Outpatient Unknown clients race/ethnic

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

RACE/ETHNICITY

Outpatient clients, race/ethnicity not known

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 433-434 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE8: Outpatient Clients race/ethnic total

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

TOTAL Outpatients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2732	20.3 %
1	-	90	0.7 %
2	-	145	1.1 %
3	-	143	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	136	1.0 %
5	-	184	1.4 %
6	-	130	1.0 %
7	-	132	1.0 %
8	-	149	1.1 %
9	-	92	0.7 %
10	-	237	1.8 %
11	-	81	0.6 %
12	-	161	1.2 %
13	-	90	0.7 %
14	-	89	0.7 %
15	-	242	1.8 %
16	-	95	0.7 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	107	0.8 %
19	-	84	0.6 %
20	-	284	2.1 %
21	-	57	0.4 %
22	-	83	0.6 %
23	-	71	0.5 %
24	-	80	0.6 %
25	-	179	1.3 %
26	-	72	0.5 %
27	-	56	0.4 %
28	-	84	0.6 %
29	-	52	0.4 %
30	-	219	1.6 %
31	-	48	0.4 %
32	-	78	0.6 %
33	-	53	0.4 %
34	-	56	0.4 %
35	-	113	0.8 %
36	-	52	0.4 %
37	-	55	0.4 %
38	-	66	0.5 %
39	-	44	0.3 %
40	-	165	1.2 %
41	-	43	0.3 %
42	-	60	0.4 %
43	-	54	0.4 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	109	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
46	-	43	0.3 %
47	-	51	0.4 %
48	-	61	0.5 %
49	-	35	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 75.44
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3437
- Standard Deviation: 155.94

Location: 435-438 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE1: Outpatient Clients under 18 years old

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, under 18 years

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	7432	55.2 %
1	-	735	5.5 %
2	-	510	3.8 %
3	-	380	2.8 %
4	-	264	2.0 %
5	-	275	2.0 %
6	-	194	1.4 %
7	-	164	1.2 %
8	-	153	1.1 %
9	-	101	0.8 %
10	-	189	1.4 %
11	-	101	0.8 %
12	-	114	0.8 %
13	-	54	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	83	0.6 %
15	-	93	0.7 %
16	-	62	0.5 %
17	-	45	0.3 %
18	-	60	0.4 %
19	-	35	0.3 %
20	-	105	0.8 %
21	-	16	0.1 %
22	-	32	0.2 %
23	-	35	0.3 %
24	-	35	0.3 %
25	-	52	0.4 %
26	-	21	0.2 %
27	-	23	0.2 %
28	-	19	0.1 %
29	-	26	0.2 %
30	-	58	0.4 %
31	-	17	0.1 %
32	-	23	0.2 %
33	-	21	0.2 %
34	-	14	0.1 %
35	-	23	0.2 %
36	-	14	0.1 %
37	-	17	0.1 %
38	-	16	0.1 %
39	-	12	0.1 %
40	-	30	0.2 %
41	-	9	0.1 %
42	-	8	0.1 %
43	-	12	0.1 %
44	-	9	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.1 %
46	-	5	0.0 %
47	-	7	0.1 %
48	-	9	0.1 %
49	-	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 7.12
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 690
- Standard Deviation: 27.62

Location: 439-441 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE2: Outpatient Clients between age 18 - 20

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the
following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 18-20

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5859	43.5 %
1	-	1186	8.8 %
2	-	1035	7.7 %
3	-	631	4.7 %
4	-	469	3.5 %
5	-	515	3.8 %
6	-	274	2.0 %
7	-	191	1.4 %
8	-	192	1.4 %
9	-	148	1.1 %
10	-	264	2.0 %
11	-	94	0.7 %
12	-	116	0.9 %
13	-	61	0.5 %
14	-	57	0.4 %
15	-	119	0.9 %
16	-	50	0.4 %
17	-	43	0.3 %
18	-	51	0.4 %
19	-	46	0.3 %
20	-	106	0.8 %
21	-	36	0.3 %
22	-	43	0.3 %
23	-	20	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	-	29	0.2 %
25	-	38	0.3 %
26	-	17	0.1 %
27	-	15	0.1 %
28	-	14	0.1 %
29	-	12	0.1 %
30	-	50	0.4 %
31	-	12	0.1 %
32	-	15	0.1 %
33	-	5	0.0 %
34	-	11	0.1 %
35	-	17	0.1 %
36	-	9	0.1 %
37	-	11	0.1 %
38	-	16	0.1 %
39	-	5	0.0 %
40	-	23	0.2 %
41	-	8	0.1 %
42	-	12	0.1 %
43	-	8	0.1 %
44	-	4	0.0 %
45	-	9	0.1 %
46	-	6	0.0 %
47	-	5	0.0 %
48	-	7	0.1 %
49	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 5.02
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 615
- Standard Deviation: 16.20

Location: 442-444 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE3: Outpatient Clients between age 21 - 24

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the
following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 21-24

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4822	35.8 %
1	-	887	6.6 %
2	-	851	6.3 %
3	-	650	4.8 %
4	-	517	3.8 %
5	-	651	4.8 %
6	-	331	2.5 %
7	-	231	1.7 %
8	-	259	1.9 %
9	-	180	1.3 %
10	-	385	2.9 %
11	-	143	1.1 %
12	-	156	1.2 %
13	-	120	0.9 %
14	-	109	0.8 %
15	-	190	1.4 %
16	-	76	0.6 %
17	-	76	0.6 %
18	-	81	0.6 %
19	-	52	0.4 %
20	-	177	1.3 %
21	-	38	0.3 %
22	-	57	0.4 %
23	-	44	0.3 %
24	-	61	0.5 %
25	-	78	0.6 %
26	-	29	0.2 %
27	-	40	0.3 %
28	-	30	0.2 %
29	-	25	0.2 %
30	-	80	0.6 %
31	-	28	0.2 %
32	-	34	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	21	0.2 %
34	-	20	0.1 %
35	-	31	0.2 %
36	-	20	0.1 %
37	-	18	0.1 %
38	-	12	0.1 %
39	-	17	0.1 %
40	-	48	0.4 %
41	-	11	0.1 %
42	-	8	0.1 %
43	-	7	0.1 %
44	-	16	0.1 %
45	-	18	0.1 %
46	-	10	0.1 %
47	-	8	0.1 %
48	-	8	0.1 %
49	-	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.25
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 600
- Standard Deviation: 21.38

Location: 445-447 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE4: Outpatient Clients age 25-34 years

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 25-34

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3820	28.4 %
1	-	412	3.1 %
2	-	480	3.6 %
3	-	376	2.8 %
4	-	367	2.7 %
5	-	427	3.2 %
6	-	309	2.3 %
7	-	260	1.9 %
8	-	304	2.3 %
9	-	197	1.5 %
10	-	433	3.2 %
11	-	163	1.2 %
12	-	199	1.5 %
13	-	149	1.1 %
14	-	129	1.0 %
15	-	249	1.9 %
16	-	103	0.8 %
17	-	131	1.0 %
18	-	134	1.0 %
19	-	102	0.8 %
20	-	272	2.0 %
21	-	123	0.9 %
22	-	99	0.7 %
23	-	80	0.6 %
24	-	74	0.5 %
25	-	136	1.0 %
26	-	70	0.5 %
27	-	71	0.5 %
28	-	66	0.5 %
29	-	62	0.5 %
30	-	174	1.3 %
31	-	61	0.5 %
32	-	60	0.4 %
33	-	43	0.3 %
34	-	58	0.4 %
35	-	68	0.5 %
36	-	53	0.4 %
37	-	36	0.3 %
38	-	53	0.4 %
39	-	33	0.2 %
40	-	112	0.8 %
41	-	43	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
42	-	39	0.3 %
43	-	40	0.3 %
44	-	27	0.2 %
45	-	62	0.5 %
46	-	28	0.2 %
47	-	38	0.3 %
48	-	35	0.3 %
49	-	27	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 20.13
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2255
- Standard Deviation: 48.75

Location: 448-451 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE5: Outpatient Clients age 35-44 years

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 35-44

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3992	29.7 %
1	-	431	3.2 %
2	-	538	4.0 %
3	-	399	3.0 %
4	-	388	2.9 %
5	-	407	3.0 %
6	-	286	2.1 %
7	-	248	1.8 %
8	-	253	1.9 %
9	-	199	1.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	412	3.1 %
11	-	157	1.2 %
12	-	196	1.5 %
13	-	135	1.0 %
14	-	113	0.8 %
15	-	224	1.7 %
16	-	120	0.9 %
17	-	119	0.9 %
18	-	125	0.9 %
19	-	86	0.6 %
20	-	224	1.7 %
21	-	117	0.9 %
22	-	76	0.6 %
23	-	78	0.6 %
24	-	75	0.6 %
25	-	126	0.9 %
26	-	77	0.6 %
27	-	61	0.5 %
28	-	71	0.5 %
29	-	50	0.4 %
30	-	128	1.0 %
31	-	61	0.5 %
32	-	50	0.4 %
33	-	34	0.3 %
34	-	48	0.4 %
35	-	74	0.5 %
36	-	45	0.3 %
37	-	40	0.3 %
38	-	52	0.4 %
39	-	39	0.3 %
40	-	85	0.6 %
41	-	33	0.2 %
42	-	42	0.3 %
43	-	33	0.2 %
44	-	38	0.3 %
45	-	45	0.3 %
46	-	26	0.2 %
47	-	30	0.2 %
48	-	36	0.3 %
49	-	22	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 21.36
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 900
- Standard Deviation: 47.56

Location: 452-454 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE6: Outpatient Clients age 45-64 years

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 45-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5216	38.8 %
1	-	769	5.7 %
2	-	776	5.8 %
3	-	503	3.7 %
4	-	395	2.9 %
5	-	449	3.3 %
6	-	277	2.1 %
7	-	230	1.7 %
8	-	226	1.7 %
9	-	185	1.4 %
10	-	324	2.4 %
11	-	135	1.0 %
12	-	154	1.1 %
13	-	131	1.0 %
14	-	100	0.7 %
15	-	156	1.2 %
16	-	90	0.7 %
17	-	70	0.5 %
18	-	83	0.6 %
19	-	62	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	116	0.9 %
21	-	77	0.6 %
22	-	64	0.5 %
23	-	51	0.4 %
24	-	46	0.3 %
25	-	71	0.5 %
26	-	40	0.3 %
27	-	35	0.3 %
28	-	44	0.3 %
29	-	34	0.3 %
30	-	68	0.5 %
31	-	32	0.2 %
32	-	31	0.2 %
33	-	36	0.3 %
34	-	25	0.2 %
35	-	40	0.3 %
36	-	20	0.1 %
37	-	31	0.2 %
38	-	21	0.2 %
39	-	20	0.1 %
40	-	34	0.3 %
41	-	29	0.2 %
42	-	23	0.2 %
43	-	16	0.1 %
44	-	26	0.2 %
45	-	24	0.2 %
46	-	16	0.1 %
47	-	14	0.1 %
48	-	10	0.1 %
49	-	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 12.30
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1070

- Standard Deviation: 36.43

Location: 455-458 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE7: Outpatient Clients age 65 and over

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the
following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age 65 and over

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9201	68.4 %
1	-	1083	8.0 %
2	-	606	4.5 %
3	-	307	2.3 %
4	-	193	1.4 %
5	-	174	1.3 %
6	-	84	0.6 %
7	-	55	0.4 %
8	-	56	0.4 %
9	-	30	0.2 %
10	-	68	0.5 %
11	-	25	0.2 %
12	-	25	0.2 %
13	-	18	0.1 %
14	-	18	0.1 %
15	-	21	0.2 %
16	-	7	0.1 %
17	-	5	0.0 %
18	-	10	0.1 %
19	-	12	0.1 %
20	-	16	0.1 %
21	-	6	0.0 %
22	-	8	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	7	0.1 %
25	-	8	0.1 %
26	-	10	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	3	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	4	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.0 %
37	-	5	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	4	0.0 %
51	-	2	0.0 %
52	-	2	0.0 %
54	-	2	0.0 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.25
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 437
- Standard Deviation: 6.94

Location: 459-461 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE8: Outpatient Clients age unknown

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

Outpatient clients, age unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 462-463 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE9: Outpatient Clients age total

How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the
following gender, race/ethnicity, and age categories? ###

AGE

TOTAL Outpatients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2732	20.3 %
1	-	90	0.7 %
2	-	145	1.1 %
3	-	143	1.1 %
4	-	136	1.0 %
5	-	185	1.4 %
6	-	129	1.0 %
7	-	132	1.0 %
8	-	149	1.1 %
9	-	92	0.7 %
10	-	237	1.8 %
11	-	81	0.6 %
12	-	161	1.2 %
13	-	90	0.7 %
14	-	89	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	242	1.8 %
16	-	95	0.7 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	107	0.8 %
19	-	84	0.6 %
20	-	284	2.1 %
21	-	57	0.4 %
22	-	83	0.6 %
23	-	71	0.5 %
24	-	80	0.6 %
25	-	179	1.3 %
26	-	72	0.5 %
27	-	56	0.4 %
28	-	84	0.6 %
29	-	52	0.4 %
30	-	219	1.6 %
31	-	48	0.4 %
32	-	78	0.6 %
33	-	53	0.4 %
34	-	56	0.4 %
35	-	113	0.8 %
36	-	52	0.4 %
37	-	55	0.4 %
38	-	66	0.5 %
39	-	44	0.3 %
40	-	165	1.2 %
41	-	43	0.3 %
42	-	60	0.4 %
43	-	54	0.4 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	109	0.8 %
46	-	43	0.3 %
47	-	51	0.4 %
48	-	61	0.5 %
49	-	35	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 75.44
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3437
- Standard Deviation: 155.94

Location: 464-467 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TOTADMIS: Total # of admissions for Sub Abuse tx

12 Month Admissions. During the 12 months between October 1, 1997 and September 30, 1998, (or the most recent 12 month period for which data are available), how many admissions for substance abuse treatment were there at this facility?###

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	220	1.6 %
1	-	24	0.2 %
2	-	29	0.2 %
3	-	34	0.3 %
4	-	33	0.2 %
5	-	39	0.3 %
6	-	37	0.3 %
7	-	42	0.3 %
8	-	34	0.3 %
9	-	24	0.2 %
10	-	98	0.7 %
11	-	31	0.2 %
12	-	57	0.4 %
13	-	17	0.1 %
14	-	27	0.2 %
15	-	80	0.6 %
16	-	30	0.2 %
17	-	26	0.2 %
18	-	44	0.3 %
19	-	21	0.2 %
20	-	116	0.9 %
21	-	32	0.2 %
22	-	33	0.2 %
23	-	28	0.2 %
24	-	42	0.3 %
25	-	91	0.7 %
26	-	28	0.2 %
27	-	37	0.3 %
28	-	33	0.2 %
29	-	25	0.2 %
30	-	140	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
31	-	23	0.2 %
32	-	40	0.3 %
33	-	28	0.2 %
34	-	22	0.2 %
35	-	70	0.5 %
36	-	44	0.3 %
37	-	36	0.3 %
38	-	36	0.3 %
39	-	24	0.2 %
40	-	111	0.8 %
41	-	28	0.2 %
42	-	42	0.3 %
43	-	38	0.3 %
44	-	23	0.2 %
45	-	66	0.5 %
46	-	24	0.2 %
47	-	34	0.3 %
48	-	49	0.4 %
49	-	33	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1883	14.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 11,572 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 358.21
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 27774
- Standard Deviation: 761.57

Location: 468-472 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

SACL98: Facility had no SA treatment on 10-1-98

Facility had no treatment on 10-1-98 (survey control variable only).

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	307	2.3 %
2	No	11739	87.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1409	10.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 12,046 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 2

Location: 473-474 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

B_PCT: % of Clients Treated For Alc/Drug Abuse

Approximately what percentage of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1,1998, were being treated for: ### %

c. Both alcohol and drug abuse

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1079	8.0 %
1	-	41	0.3 %
2	-	48	0.4 %
3	-	40	0.3 %
4	-	27	0.2 %
5	-	133	1.0 %
6	-	29	0.2 %
7	-	35	0.3 %
8	-	45	0.3 %
9	-	19	0.1 %
10	-	293	2.2 %
11	-	22	0.2 %
12	-	44	0.3 %
13	-	22	0.2 %
14	-	40	0.3 %
15	-	141	1.0 %
16	-	36	0.3 %
17	-	27	0.2 %
18	-	29	0.2 %
19	-	36	0.3 %
20	-	383	2.8 %
21	-	27	0.2 %
22	-	46	0.3 %
23	-	41	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	-	32	0.2 %
25	-	301	2.2 %
26	-	28	0.2 %
27	-	39	0.3 %
28	-	29	0.2 %
29	-	38	0.3 %
30	-	360	2.7 %
31	-	28	0.2 %
32	-	47	0.3 %
33	-	99	0.7 %
34	-	70	0.5 %
35	-	142	1.1 %
36	-	47	0.3 %
37	-	40	0.3 %
38	-	44	0.3 %
39	-	32	0.2 %
40	-	364	2.7 %
41	-	26	0.2 %
42	-	43	0.3 %
43	-	51	0.4 %
44	-	44	0.3 %
45	-	123	0.9 %
46	-	45	0.3 %
47	-	35	0.3 %
48	-	38	0.3 %
49	-	36	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1689	12.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 11,766 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 53.78
- Median: 57.00
- Mode: 100.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 32.89

Location: 475-477 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

A_PCT: % of Clients Treated For Alc Abuse

Approximately what percentage of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1,1998, were being treated for: ### %

a. Alcohol abuse only

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2716	20.2 %
1	-	122	0.9 %
2	-	167	1.2 %
3	-	107	0.8 %
4	-	52	0.4 %
5	-	477	3.5 %
6	-	63	0.5 %
7	-	59	0.4 %
8	-	91	0.7 %
9	-	46	0.3 %
10	-	929	6.9 %
11	-	42	0.3 %
12	-	91	0.7 %
13	-	68	0.5 %
14	-	70	0.5 %
15	-	385	2.9 %
16	-	46	0.3 %
17	-	61	0.5 %
18	-	75	0.6 %
19	-	39	0.3 %
20	-	820	6.1 %
21	-	42	0.3 %
22	-	68	0.5 %
23	-	62	0.5 %
24	-	39	0.3 %
25	-	562	4.2 %
26	-	45	0.3 %
27	-	34	0.3 %
28	-	49	0.4 %
29	-	53	0.4 %
30	-	457	3.4 %
31	-	40	0.3 %
32	-	53	0.4 %
33	-	127	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	40	0.3 %
35	-	129	1.0 %
36	-	43	0.3 %
37	-	37	0.3 %
38	-	38	0.3 %
39	-	35	0.3 %
40	-	310	2.3 %
41	-	33	0.2 %
42	-	44	0.3 %
43	-	28	0.2 %
44	-	27	0.2 %
45	-	90	0.7 %
46	-	37	0.3 %
47	-	30	0.2 %
48	-	32	0.2 %
49	-	28	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1688	12.5 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 11,767 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 27.03
- Median: 20.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 28.26

Location: 478-480 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

D_PCT: % of Clients Treated For Drug Abuse

Approximately what percentage of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1,1998, were being treated for: ### %

b. Drug abuse only

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3707	27.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	134	1.0 %
2	-	182	1.4 %
3	-	109	0.8 %
4	-	88	0.7 %
5	-	599	4.5 %
6	-	84	0.6 %
7	-	97	0.7 %
8	-	109	0.8 %
9	-	87	0.6 %
10	-	1220	9.1 %
11	-	69	0.5 %
12	-	124	0.9 %
13	-	81	0.6 %
14	-	71	0.5 %
15	-	448	3.3 %
16	-	75	0.6 %
17	-	95	0.7 %
18	-	73	0.5 %
19	-	58	0.4 %
20	-	796	5.9 %
21	-	66	0.5 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	64	0.5 %
24	-	38	0.3 %
25	-	512	3.8 %
26	-	54	0.4 %
27	-	41	0.3 %
28	-	48	0.4 %
29	-	50	0.4 %
30	-	317	2.4 %
31	-	39	0.3 %
32	-	24	0.2 %
33	-	82	0.6 %
34	-	45	0.3 %
35	-	104	0.8 %
36	-	34	0.3 %
37	-	23	0.2 %
38	-	25	0.2 %
39	-	19	0.1 %
40	-	178	1.3 %
41	-	19	0.1 %
42	-	25	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
43	-	24	0.2 %
44	-	22	0.2 %
45	-	47	0.3 %
46	-	16	0.1 %
47	-	19	0.1 %
48	-	18	0.1 %
49	-	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1689	12.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 11,766 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 19.19
- Median: 10.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 25.57

Location: 481-483 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

INJPCT: Percentage of injection drug users

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

a. Injection drug users at the time of admission

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3485	25.9 %
1	-	659	4.9 %
2	-	485	3.6 %
3	-	268	2.0 %
4	-	145	1.1 %
5	-	669	5.0 %
6	-	118	0.9 %
7	-	99	0.7 %
8	-	119	0.9 %
9	-	53	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	688	5.1 %
11	-	58	0.4 %
12	-	84	0.6 %
13	-	48	0.4 %
14	-	55	0.4 %
15	-	233	1.7 %
16	-	30	0.2 %
17	-	49	0.4 %
18	-	46	0.3 %
19	-	17	0.1 %
20	-	351	2.6 %
21	-	30	0.2 %
22	-	31	0.2 %
23	-	19	0.1 %
24	-	24	0.2 %
25	-	183	1.4 %
26	-	17	0.1 %
27	-	20	0.1 %
28	-	15	0.1 %
29	-	16	0.1 %
30	-	161	1.2 %
31	-	5	0.0 %
32	-	14	0.1 %
33	-	42	0.3 %
34	-	13	0.1 %
35	-	61	0.5 %
36	-	6	0.0 %
37	-	10	0.1 %
38	-	11	0.1 %
39	-	6	0.0 %
40	-	140	1.0 %
41	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	10	0.1 %
43	-	6	0.0 %
44	-	11	0.1 %
45	-	28	0.2 %
46	-	9	0.1 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	4	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4024	29.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 9,431 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 12.63
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 21.92

Location: 484-486 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TBPCT: Percentage of clients w/ tuberculosis

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

b. Known to have an active case of tuberculosis (TB)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	7067	52.5 %
1	-	572	4.3 %
2	-	185	1.4 %
3	-	74	0.5 %
4	-	31	0.2 %
5	-	130	1.0 %
6	-	17	0.1 %
7	-	10	0.1 %
8	-	10	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	55	0.4 %
11	-	3	0.0 %
12	-	7	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.0 %
15	-	16	0.1 %
16	-	2	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	16	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	8	0.1 %
30	-	4	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	4	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	5227	38.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 8,228 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.55
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 2.91

Location: 487-489 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HIVPCT: Percentage of clients with HIV

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

c. HIV positive

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4183	31.1 %
1	-	991	7.4 %
2	-	494	3.7 %
3	-	276	2.1 %
4	-	132	1.0 %
5	-	474	3.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	80	0.6 %
7	-	61	0.5 %
8	-	82	0.6 %
9	-	28	0.2 %
10	-	307	2.3 %
11	-	22	0.2 %
12	-	37	0.3 %
13	-	18	0.1 %
14	-	18	0.1 %
15	-	99	0.7 %
16	-	7	0.1 %
17	-	13	0.1 %
18	-	22	0.2 %
19	-	12	0.1 %
20	-	96	0.7 %
21	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	5	0.0 %
23	-	5	0.0 %
24	-	8	0.1 %
25	-	64	0.5 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	6	0.0 %
29	-	4	0.0 %
30	-	32	0.2 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	13	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	10	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	19	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	14	0.1 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	16	0.1 %
53	-	2	0.0 %
55	-	2	0.0 %
60	-	4	0.0 %
65	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
68	-	2	0.0 %
70	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	5737	42.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 7,718 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 3.56
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 9.47

Location: 490-492 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

PREVPCT: Percentage of clients who prev rcd tx

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

d. Had previously received substance abuse treatment from this or another facility

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	284	2.1 %
1	-	88	0.7 %
2	-	100	0.7 %
3	-	61	0.5 %
4	-	46	0.3 %
5	-	204	1.5 %
6	-	19	0.1 %
7	-	25	0.2 %
8	-	38	0.3 %
9	-	19	0.1 %
10	-	437	3.2 %
11	-	32	0.2 %
12	-	51	0.4 %
13	-	20	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
14	-	26	0.2 %
15	-	197	1.5 %
16	-	20	0.1 %
17	-	30	0.2 %
18	-	30	0.2 %
19	-	17	0.1 %
20	-	522	3.9 %
21	-	25	0.2 %
22	-	37	0.3 %
23	-	27	0.2 %
24	-	24	0.2 %
25	-	428	3.2 %
26	-	19	0.1 %
27	-	26	0.2 %
28	-	39	0.3 %
29	-	25	0.2 %
30	-	479	3.6 %
31	-	27	0.2 %
32	-	39	0.3 %
33	-	114	0.8 %
34	-	26	0.2 %
35	-	184	1.4 %
36	-	29	0.2 %
37	-	39	0.3 %
38	-	43	0.3 %
39	-	28	0.2 %
40	-	483	3.6 %
41	-	22	0.2 %
42	-	56	0.4 %
43	-	29	0.2 %
44	-	33	0.2 %
45	-	134	1.0 %
46	-	32	0.2 %
47	-	24	0.2 %
48	-	42	0.3 %
49	-	28	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3345	24.9 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 10,110 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 49.85
- Median: 50.00
- Mode: 50.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 30.22

Location: 493-495 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

MGDPCT: Percent of clients covered by mgd care

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

e. Covered by managed care arrangements

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4229	31.4 %
1	-	239	1.8 %
2	-	186	1.4 %
3	-	84	0.6 %
4	-	49	0.4 %
5	-	338	2.5 %
6	-	42	0.3 %
7	-	30	0.2 %
8	-	53	0.4 %
9	-	30	0.2 %
10	-	441	3.3 %
11	-	26	0.2 %
12	-	41	0.3 %
13	-	29	0.2 %
14	-	20	0.1 %
15	-	145	1.1 %
16	-	21	0.2 %
17	-	24	0.2 %
18	-	18	0.1 %
19	-	11	0.1 %
20	-	289	2.1 %
21	-	24	0.2 %
22	-	14	0.1 %
23	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24	-	25	0.2 %
25	-	178	1.3 %
26	-	15	0.1 %
27	-	19	0.1 %
28	-	14	0.1 %
29	-	15	0.1 %
30	-	195	1.4 %
31	-	12	0.1 %
32	-	9	0.1 %
33	-	56	0.4 %
34	-	8	0.1 %
35	-	66	0.5 %
36	-	9	0.1 %
37	-	9	0.1 %
38	-	12	0.1 %
39	-	11	0.1 %
40	-	199	1.5 %
41	-	8	0.1 %
42	-	8	0.1 %
43	-	8	0.1 %
44	-	5	0.0 %
45	-	40	0.3 %
46	-	12	0.1 %
47	-	5	0.0 %
48	-	8	0.1 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4312	32.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 9,143 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 20.51
- Median: 2.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 30.22

Location: 496-498 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

CTPCT: Percent of court referred clients

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

f. Criminal justice referred clients (excluding DUI/DWI)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1932	14.4 %
1	-	241	1.8 %
2	-	222	1.6 %
3	-	121	0.9 %
4	-	71	0.5 %
5	-	465	3.5 %
6	-	76	0.6 %
7	-	50	0.4 %
8	-	69	0.5 %
9	-	54	0.4 %
10	-	704	5.2 %
11	-	48	0.4 %
12	-	64	0.5 %
13	-	45	0.3 %
14	-	49	0.4 %
15	-	261	1.9 %
16	-	48	0.4 %
17	-	43	0.3 %
18	-	58	0.4 %
19	-	28	0.2 %
20	-	524	3.9 %
21	-	35	0.3 %
22	-	47	0.3 %
23	-	36	0.3 %
24	-	41	0.3 %
25	-	330	2.5 %
26	-	29	0.2 %
27	-	39	0.3 %
28	-	41	0.3 %
29	-	21	0.2 %
30	-	308	2.3 %
31	-	23	0.2 %
32	-	29	0.2 %
33	-	77	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	13	0.1 %
35	-	146	1.1 %
36	-	30	0.2 %
37	-	26	0.2 %
38	-	46	0.3 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	296	2.2 %
41	-	20	0.1 %
42	-	21	0.2 %
43	-	36	0.3 %
44	-	27	0.2 %
45	-	104	0.8 %
46	-	21	0.2 %
47	-	22	0.2 %
48	-	31	0.2 %
49	-	14	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3281	24.4 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 10,174 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 32.99
- Median: 20.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 33.25

Location: 499-501 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

PREGPCT: Percentage of clients pregnant

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

g. Pregnant women

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	6012	44.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	1323	9.8 %
2	-	653	4.9 %
3	-	238	1.8 %
4	-	122	0.9 %
5	-	487	3.6 %
6	-	57	0.4 %
7	-	55	0.4 %
8	-	53	0.4 %
9	-	20	0.1 %
10	-	247	1.8 %
11	-	20	0.1 %
12	-	21	0.2 %
13	-	9	0.1 %
14	-	11	0.1 %
15	-	52	0.4 %
16	-	8	0.1 %
17	-	6	0.0 %
18	-	7	0.1 %
19	-	2	0.0 %
20	-	56	0.4 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	4	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	4	0.0 %
25	-	36	0.3 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	27	0.2 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	12	0.1 %
34	-	3	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	17	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	18	0.1 %
52	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
55	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	4	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	3824	28.4 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 9,631 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 2.07
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 6.50

Location: 502-504 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

DEPPCT: Percentage of women w/ dep children

Approximately what percent of all substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories? ### %

h. Mothers with dependent children under 18 years old

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3018	22.4 %
1	-	366	2.7 %
2	-	280	2.1 %
3	-	224	1.7 %
4	-	134	1.0 %
5	-	532	4.0 %
6	-	112	0.8 %
7	-	104	0.8 %
8	-	184	1.4 %
9	-	92	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	709	5.3 %
11	-	113	0.8 %
12	-	140	1.0 %
13	-	89	0.7 %
14	-	106	0.8 %
15	-	354	2.6 %
16	-	90	0.7 %
17	-	71	0.5 %
18	-	93	0.7 %
19	-	59	0.4 %
20	-	479	3.6 %
21	-	51	0.4 %
22	-	70	0.5 %
23	-	46	0.3 %
24	-	32	0.2 %
25	-	270	2.0 %
26	-	40	0.3 %
27	-	37	0.3 %
28	-	41	0.3 %
29	-	26	0.2 %
30	-	221	1.6 %
31	-	21	0.2 %
32	-	27	0.2 %
33	-	67	0.5 %
34	-	13	0.1 %
35	-	78	0.6 %
36	-	17	0.1 %
37	-	13	0.1 %
38	-	15	0.1 %
39	-	6	0.0 %
40	-	109	0.8 %
41	-	9	0.1 %
42	-	9	0.1 %
43	-	12	0.1 %
44	-	6	0.0 %
45	-	19	0.1 %
46	-	7	0.1 %
47	-	5	0.0 %
48	-	7	0.1 %
49	-	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	4173	31.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 9,282 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 14.09
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 100
- Standard Deviation: 21.01

Location: 505-507 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OPMLTOTL: Total Methadone/LAAM Outpatients

Total methadone / LAAM outpatients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1	0.0 %
1	-	9	0.1 %
2	-	10	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	5	0.0 %
6	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	4	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	6	0.0 %
11	-	2	0.0 %
12	-	2	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
14	-	4	0.0 %
15	-	3	0.0 %
16	-	2	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	5	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	3	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
25	-	4	0.0 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	3	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
41	-	2	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	6	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	3	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
51	-	2	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	12762	94.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 693 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 213.17
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2800
- Standard Deviation: 209.84

Location: 508-511 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HIMLTOTL: Total Methadone/LAAM Inpatients

Total methadone / LAAM hospital inpatients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	21	0.2 %
2	-	8	0.1 %
3	-	9	0.1 %
4	-	8	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.0 %
6	-	8	0.1 %
7	-	5	0.0 %
8	-	6	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	4	0.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.0 %
15	-	2	0.0 %
16	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
120	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13366	99.3 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 89 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.09
- Median: 4.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 120
- Standard Deviation: 14.55

Location: 512-514 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

RCMLTOTL: Total Methadone/LAAM Residential

Total methadone / LAAM residential clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	13	0.1 %
2	-	6	0.0 %
3	-	5	0.0 %
4	-	2	0.0 %
5	-	2	0.0 %
6	-	3	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.0 %
10	-	7	0.1 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	13398	99.6 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 57 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 10.28
- Median: 6.00
- Mode: 1.00
- Minimum: 1
- Maximum: 61
- Standard Deviation: 12.89

Location: 515-516 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

MALE: TOTAL OF MALES

Total Male clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1131	8.4 %
1	-	192	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	243	1.8 %
3	-	254	1.9 %
4	-	229	1.7 %
5	-	249	1.9 %
6	-	249	1.9 %
7	-	220	1.6 %
8	-	275	2.0 %
9	-	228	1.7 %
10	-	285	2.1 %
11	-	175	1.3 %
12	-	263	2.0 %
13	-	162	1.2 %
14	-	206	1.5 %
15	-	256	1.9 %
16	-	152	1.1 %
17	-	158	1.2 %
18	-	190	1.4 %
19	-	113	0.8 %
20	-	240	1.8 %
21	-	138	1.0 %
22	-	135	1.0 %
23	-	101	0.8 %
24	-	139	1.0 %
25	-	171	1.3 %
26	-	108	0.8 %
27	-	107	0.8 %
28	-	124	0.9 %
29	-	95	0.7 %
30	-	196	1.5 %
31	-	84	0.6 %
32	-	78	0.6 %
33	-	73	0.5 %
34	-	75	0.6 %
35	-	130	1.0 %
36	-	65	0.5 %
37	-	78	0.6 %
38	-	75	0.6 %
39	-	81	0.6 %
40	-	148	1.1 %
41	-	73	0.5 %
42	-	79	0.6 %
43	-	62	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
44	-	63	0.5 %
45	-	105	0.8 %
46	-	71	0.5 %
47	-	63	0.5 %
48	-	78	0.6 %
49	-	42	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 58.94
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2500
- Standard Deviation: 110.96

Location: 517-520 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

FEMALE: TOTAL OF FEMALES

Total Female clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1836	13.6 %
1	-	571	4.2 %
2	-	616	4.6 %
3	-	495	3.7 %
4	-	469	3.5 %
5	-	539	4.0 %
6	-	414	3.1 %
7	-	310	2.3 %
8	-	356	2.6 %
9	-	259	1.9 %
10	-	454	3.4 %
11	-	212	1.6 %
12	-	261	1.9 %
13	-	198	1.5 %
14	-	184	1.4 %
15	-	277	2.1 %
16	-	160	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
17	-	165	1.2 %
18	-	140	1.0 %
19	-	123	0.9 %
20	-	265	2.0 %
21	-	123	0.9 %
22	-	112	0.8 %
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	109	0.8 %
25	-	166	1.2 %
26	-	90	0.7 %
27	-	95	0.7 %
28	-	95	0.7 %
29	-	62	0.5 %
30	-	151	1.1 %
31	-	77	0.6 %
32	-	81	0.6 %
33	-	68	0.5 %
34	-	74	0.5 %
35	-	85	0.6 %
36	-	61	0.5 %
37	-	53	0.4 %
38	-	59	0.4 %
39	-	38	0.3 %
40	-	88	0.7 %
41	-	58	0.4 %
42	-	58	0.4 %
43	-	31	0.2 %
44	-	52	0.4 %
45	-	56	0.4 %
46	-	38	0.3 %
47	-	46	0.3 %
48	-	30	0.2 %
49	-	36	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 26.60
- Minimum: 0

- Maximum: 2040
- Standard Deviation: 59.48

Location: 521-524 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

UNKNOWN: TOTAL OF UNKNOWN SEX

Total clients with unknown gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 525-526 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TTLGNDR: TOTAL OF BOTH SEXES

Total clients, both sexes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	399	3.0 %
1	-	112	0.8 %
2	-	160	1.2 %
3	-	152	1.1 %
4	-	158	1.2 %
5	-	226	1.7 %
6	-	204	1.5 %
7	-	161	1.2 %
8	-	221	1.6 %
9	-	143	1.1 %
10	-	298	2.2 %
11	-	141	1.0 %
12	-	246	1.8 %
13	-	139	1.0 %
14	-	159	1.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	312	2.3 %
16	-	186	1.4 %
17	-	146	1.1 %
18	-	154	1.1 %
19	-	134	1.0 %
20	-	326	2.4 %
21	-	98	0.7 %
22	-	128	1.0 %
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	127	0.9 %
25	-	204	1.5 %
26	-	99	0.7 %
27	-	79	0.6 %
28	-	133	1.0 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	242	1.8 %
31	-	78	0.6 %
32	-	96	0.7 %
33	-	77	0.6 %
34	-	74	0.5 %
35	-	136	1.0 %
36	-	71	0.5 %
37	-	79	0.6 %
38	-	89	0.7 %
39	-	50	0.4 %
40	-	203	1.5 %
41	-	63	0.5 %
42	-	89	0.7 %
43	-	67	0.5 %
44	-	49	0.4 %
45	-	118	0.9 %
46	-	64	0.5 %
47	-	67	0.5 %
48	-	74	0.5 %
49	-	45	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 85.54
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3451
- Standard Deviation: 159.54

Location: 527-530 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

WHITE: TOTAL OF WHITES

Total white clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	910	6.8 %
1	-	309	2.3 %
2	-	417	3.1 %
3	-	379	2.8 %
4	-	345	2.6 %
5	-	363	2.7 %
6	-	323	2.4 %
7	-	255	1.9 %
8	-	313	2.3 %
9	-	260	1.9 %
10	-	323	2.4 %
11	-	218	1.6 %
12	-	268	2.0 %
13	-	201	1.5 %
14	-	207	1.5 %
15	-	235	1.7 %
16	-	170	1.3 %
17	-	172	1.3 %
18	-	176	1.3 %
19	-	135	1.0 %
20	-	233	1.7 %
21	-	139	1.0 %
22	-	134	1.0 %
23	-	122	0.9 %
24	-	118	0.9 %
25	-	159	1.2 %
26	-	125	0.9 %
27	-	105	0.8 %
28	-	124	0.9 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	156	1.2 %
31	-	83	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
32	-	85	0.6 %
33	-	84	0.6 %
34	-	76	0.6 %
35	-	109	0.8 %
36	-	68	0.5 %
37	-	73	0.5 %
38	-	66	0.5 %
39	-	70	0.5 %
40	-	131	1.0 %
41	-	59	0.4 %
42	-	77	0.6 %
43	-	48	0.4 %
44	-	50	0.4 %
45	-	93	0.7 %
46	-	51	0.4 %
47	-	41	0.3 %
48	-	66	0.5 %
49	-	34	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
-1	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,138 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 49.91
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3010
- Standard Deviation: 96.78

Location: 531-534 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9 , -1

BLACK: TOTAL OF BLACKS

Total black or African American clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3208	23.8 %
1	-	1151	8.6 %
2	-	939	7.0 %
3	-	631	4.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	467	3.5 %
5	-	476	3.5 %
6	-	351	2.6 %
7	-	235	1.7 %
8	-	275	2.0 %
9	-	209	1.6 %
10	-	332	2.5 %
11	-	138	1.0 %
12	-	167	1.2 %
13	-	131	1.0 %
14	-	143	1.1 %
15	-	174	1.3 %
16	-	103	0.8 %
17	-	85	0.6 %
18	-	93	0.7 %
19	-	86	0.6 %
20	-	152	1.1 %
21	-	74	0.5 %
22	-	83	0.6 %
23	-	82	0.6 %
24	-	69	0.5 %
25	-	91	0.7 %
26	-	51	0.4 %
27	-	53	0.4 %
28	-	49	0.4 %
29	-	59	0.4 %
30	-	81	0.6 %
31	-	44	0.3 %
32	-	43	0.3 %
33	-	38	0.3 %
34	-	47	0.3 %
35	-	47	0.3 %
36	-	46	0.3 %
37	-	41	0.3 %
38	-	37	0.3 %
39	-	33	0.2 %
40	-	48	0.4 %
41	-	28	0.2 %
42	-	37	0.3 %
43	-	19	0.1 %
44	-	25	0.2 %
45	-	41	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
46	-	25	0.2 %
47	-	24	0.2 %
48	-	30	0.2 %
49	-	23	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 20.42
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3277
- Standard Deviation: 62.96

Location: 535-538 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

HISPANIC: TOTAL OF HISPANICS

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	5133	38.1 %
1	-	1426	10.6 %
2	-	1003	7.5 %
3	-	631	4.7 %
4	-	405	3.0 %
5	-	378	2.8 %
6	-	276	2.1 %
7	-	179	1.3 %
8	-	183	1.4 %
9	-	126	0.9 %
10	-	212	1.6 %
11	-	102	0.8 %
12	-	108	0.8 %
13	-	83	0.6 %
14	-	78	0.6 %
15	-	121	0.9 %
16	-	58	0.4 %
17	-	47	0.3 %
18	-	47	0.3 %
19	-	56	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	97	0.7 %
21	-	48	0.4 %
22	-	49	0.4 %
23	-	38	0.3 %
24	-	30	0.2 %
25	-	60	0.4 %
26	-	30	0.2 %
27	-	39	0.3 %
28	-	32	0.2 %
29	-	24	0.2 %
30	-	42	0.3 %
31	-	23	0.2 %
32	-	21	0.2 %
33	-	18	0.1 %
34	-	24	0.2 %
35	-	24	0.2 %
36	-	17	0.1 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	20	0.1 %
39	-	14	0.1 %
40	-	35	0.3 %
41	-	18	0.1 %
42	-	17	0.1 %
43	-	13	0.1 %
44	-	10	0.1 %
45	-	19	0.1 %
46	-	11	0.1 %
47	-	13	0.1 %
48	-	7	0.1 %
49	-	8	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 11.57
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2260
- Standard Deviation: 44.68

Location: 539-542 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

ASIAN: TOTAL OF ASIANS

Total Asian or Pacific Islander clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10177	75.6 %
1	-	947	7.0 %
2	-	371	2.8 %
3	-	176	1.3 %
4	-	113	0.8 %
5	-	73	0.5 %
6	-	36	0.3 %
7	-	21	0.2 %
8	-	20	0.1 %
9	-	17	0.1 %
10	-	30	0.2 %
11	-	15	0.1 %
12	-	19	0.1 %
13	-	7	0.1 %
14	-	5	0.0 %
15	-	11	0.1 %
16	-	5	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.0 %
19	-	3	0.0 %
20	-	12	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	4	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
24	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	6	0.0 %
26	-	2	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.0 %
31	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	3	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	2	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	3	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	4	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
63	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.77
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 402
- Standard Deviation: 6.18

Location: 543-545 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

AMERINDN: TOTAL OF AMER. INDIANS

Total American Indian or Alaska Native clients

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	9040	67.2 %
1	-	1173	8.7 %
2	-	561	4.2 %
3	-	254	1.9 %
4	-	192	1.4 %
5	-	150	1.1 %
6	-	88	0.7 %
7	-	73	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	51	0.4 %
9	-	46	0.3 %
10	-	63	0.5 %
11	-	24	0.2 %
12	-	35	0.3 %
13	-	28	0.2 %
14	-	28	0.2 %
15	-	12	0.1 %
16	-	15	0.1 %
17	-	25	0.2 %
18	-	17	0.1 %
19	-	7	0.1 %
20	-	25	0.2 %
21	-	3	0.0 %
22	-	13	0.1 %
23	-	10	0.1 %
24	-	9	0.1 %
25	-	10	0.1 %
26	-	7	0.1 %
27	-	6	0.0 %
28	-	8	0.1 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
30	-	7	0.1 %
31	-	3	0.0 %
32	-	6	0.0 %
33	-	5	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	5	0.0 %
36	-	4	0.0 %
37	-	2	0.0 %
38	-	5	0.0 %
39	-	4	0.0 %
40	-	7	0.1 %
41	-	5	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	6	0.0 %
46	-	2	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.0 %
48	-	3	0.0 %
49	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 2.20
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 781
- Standard Deviation: 17.15

Location: 546-548 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OTHER: TOTAL OF OTHER RACES

Total clients of other race/ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	10517	78.2 %
1	-	667	5.0 %
2	-	301	2.2 %
3	-	164	1.2 %
4	-	106	0.8 %
5	-	84	0.6 %
6	-	53	0.4 %
7	-	45	0.3 %
8	-	31	0.2 %
9	-	17	0.1 %
10	-	26	0.2 %
11	-	14	0.1 %
12	-	7	0.1 %
13	-	13	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.0 %
15	-	8	0.1 %
16	-	6	0.0 %
17	-	3	0.0 %
18	-	3	0.0 %
19	-	5	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	3	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.0 %
26	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	2	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.0 %
34	-	2	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	3	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
54	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	2	0.0 %
72	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.68
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 159
- Standard Deviation: 4.90

UNKRACE: TOTAL OF UNKNOWN RACE

Total clients with unknown race/ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

TTLRACE: TOTAL OF ALL RACES

Total clients, all races

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1715	12.7 %
1	-	112	0.8 %
2	-	160	1.2 %
3	-	152	1.1 %
4	-	158	1.2 %
5	-	226	1.7 %
6	-	204	1.5 %
7	-	161	1.2 %
8	-	221	1.6 %
9	-	143	1.1 %
10	-	298	2.2 %
11	-	141	1.0 %
12	-	246	1.8 %
13	-	139	1.0 %
14	-	159	1.2 %
15	-	312	2.3 %
16	-	186	1.4 %
17	-	146	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	154	1.1 %
19	-	134	1.0 %
20	-	326	2.4 %
21	-	98	0.7 %
22	-	128	1.0 %
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	127	0.9 %
25	-	204	1.5 %
26	-	99	0.7 %
27	-	79	0.6 %
28	-	133	1.0 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	242	1.8 %
31	-	78	0.6 %
32	-	96	0.7 %
33	-	77	0.6 %
34	-	74	0.5 %
35	-	136	1.0 %
36	-	71	0.5 %
37	-	79	0.6 %
38	-	89	0.7 %
39	-	50	0.4 %
40	-	203	1.5 %
41	-	63	0.5 %
42	-	89	0.7 %
43	-	67	0.5 %
44	-	49	0.4 %
45	-	118	0.9 %
46	-	64	0.5 %
47	-	67	0.5 %
48	-	74	0.5 %
49	-	45	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 77.17
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3451
- Standard Deviation: 153.65

UNDER18: TOTAL OF UNDER18

Total clients under age 18

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	6718	49.9 %
1	-	865	6.4 %
2	-	585	4.3 %
3	-	402	3.0 %
4	-	304	2.3 %
5	-	295	2.2 %
6	-	214	1.6 %
7	-	183	1.4 %
8	-	174	1.3 %
9	-	113	0.8 %
10	-	197	1.5 %
11	-	121	0.9 %
12	-	138	1.0 %
13	-	78	0.6 %
14	-	104	0.8 %
15	-	111	0.8 %
16	-	81	0.6 %
17	-	62	0.5 %
18	-	71	0.5 %
19	-	49	0.4 %
20	-	111	0.8 %
21	-	22	0.2 %
22	-	42	0.3 %
23	-	41	0.3 %
24	-	37	0.3 %
25	-	56	0.4 %
26	-	27	0.2 %
27	-	30	0.2 %
28	-	27	0.2 %
29	-	28	0.2 %
30	-	59	0.4 %
31	-	27	0.2 %
32	-	27	0.2 %
33	-	22	0.2 %
34	-	19	0.1 %
35	-	32	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	-	18	0.1 %
37	-	21	0.2 %
38	-	20	0.1 %
39	-	13	0.1 %
40	-	37	0.3 %
41	-	11	0.1 %
42	-	11	0.1 %
43	-	12	0.1 %
44	-	14	0.1 %
45	-	15	0.1 %
46	-	6	0.0 %
47	-	11	0.1 %
48	-	9	0.1 %
49	-	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 8.26
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 727
- Standard Deviation: 29.28

Location: 558-560 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

X18_20: TOTAL OF AGES 18-20

Total clients age 18-20

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	4555	33.9 %
1	-	1617	12.0 %
2	-	1295	9.6 %
3	-	772	5.7 %
4	-	552	4.1 %
5	-	567	4.2 %
6	-	338	2.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	236	1.8 %
8	-	220	1.6 %
9	-	174	1.3 %
10	-	293	2.2 %
11	-	107	0.8 %
12	-	130	1.0 %
13	-	73	0.5 %
14	-	65	0.5 %
15	-	121	0.9 %
16	-	59	0.4 %
17	-	49	0.4 %
18	-	50	0.4 %
19	-	54	0.4 %
20	-	108	0.8 %
21	-	47	0.3 %
22	-	52	0.4 %
23	-	26	0.2 %
24	-	23	0.2 %
25	-	43	0.3 %
26	-	23	0.2 %
27	-	16	0.1 %
28	-	14	0.1 %
29	-	15	0.1 %
30	-	50	0.4 %
31	-	18	0.1 %
32	-	15	0.1 %
33	-	9	0.1 %
34	-	11	0.1 %
35	-	18	0.1 %
36	-	12	0.1 %
37	-	11	0.1 %
38	-	13	0.1 %
39	-	8	0.1 %
40	-	18	0.1 %
41	-	8	0.1 %
42	-	16	0.1 %
43	-	12	0.1 %
44	-	6	0.0 %
45	-	8	0.1 %
46	-	7	0.1 %
47	-	9	0.1 %
48	-	6	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
49	-	5	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 5.66
- Median: 1.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 643
- Standard Deviation: 16.79

Location: 561-563 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

X21_24: TOTAL OF AGES 21-24

Total clients age 21-24

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3169	23.6 %
1	-	1211	9.0 %
2	-	1130	8.4 %
3	-	836	6.2 %
4	-	678	5.0 %
5	-	738	5.5 %
6	-	431	3.2 %
7	-	290	2.2 %
8	-	298	2.2 %
9	-	230	1.7 %
10	-	436	3.2 %
11	-	187	1.4 %
12	-	173	1.3 %
13	-	139	1.0 %
14	-	134	1.0 %
15	-	197	1.5 %
16	-	96	0.7 %
17	-	92	0.7 %
18	-	97	0.7 %
19	-	65	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	178	1.3 %
21	-	46	0.3 %
22	-	71	0.5 %
23	-	55	0.4 %
24	-	64	0.5 %
25	-	75	0.6 %
26	-	34	0.3 %
27	-	43	0.3 %
28	-	33	0.2 %
29	-	24	0.2 %
30	-	84	0.6 %
31	-	32	0.2 %
32	-	36	0.3 %
33	-	34	0.3 %
34	-	22	0.2 %
35	-	36	0.3 %
36	-	22	0.2 %
37	-	22	0.2 %
38	-	17	0.1 %
39	-	21	0.2 %
40	-	44	0.3 %
41	-	15	0.1 %
42	-	11	0.1 %
43	-	8	0.1 %
44	-	15	0.1 %
45	-	22	0.2 %
46	-	13	0.1 %
47	-	12	0.1 %
48	-	8	0.1 %
49	-	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 9.42
- Median: 3.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 603

- Standard Deviation: 22.18

Location: 564-566 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

X25_34: TOTAL OF AGES 25-34

Total clients age 25-34

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1847	13.7 %
1	-	518	3.8 %
2	-	595	4.4 %
3	-	499	3.7 %
4	-	505	3.8 %
5	-	529	3.9 %
6	-	439	3.3 %
7	-	372	2.8 %
8	-	381	2.8 %
9	-	296	2.2 %
10	-	500	3.7 %
11	-	220	1.6 %
12	-	281	2.1 %
13	-	189	1.4 %
14	-	161	1.2 %
15	-	287	2.1 %
16	-	148	1.1 %
17	-	168	1.2 %
18	-	160	1.2 %
19	-	134	1.0 %
20	-	316	2.3 %
21	-	140	1.0 %
22	-	124	0.9 %
23	-	102	0.8 %
24	-	83	0.6 %
25	-	160	1.2 %
26	-	82	0.6 %
27	-	92	0.7 %
28	-	84	0.6 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	188	1.4 %
31	-	70	0.5 %
32	-	66	0.5 %
33	-	64	0.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	65	0.5 %
35	-	82	0.6 %
36	-	49	0.4 %
37	-	49	0.4 %
38	-	60	0.4 %
39	-	47	0.3 %
40	-	106	0.8 %
41	-	48	0.4 %
42	-	43	0.3 %
43	-	47	0.3 %
44	-	37	0.3 %
45	-	72	0.5 %
46	-	39	0.3 %
47	-	44	0.3 %
48	-	39	0.3 %
49	-	28	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 23.27
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2267
- Standard Deviation: 50.50

Location: 567-570 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

X35_44: TOTAL OF AGES 35-44

Total clients age 35-44

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2018	15.0 %
1	-	558	4.1 %
2	-	689	5.1 %
3	-	580	4.3 %
4	-	501	3.7 %
5	-	535	4.0 %
6	-	416	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	-	341	2.5 %
8	-	373	2.8 %
9	-	276	2.1 %
10	-	471	3.5 %
11	-	220	1.6 %
12	-	242	1.8 %
13	-	180	1.3 %
14	-	159	1.2 %
15	-	267	2.0 %
16	-	159	1.2 %
17	-	142	1.1 %
18	-	144	1.1 %
19	-	108	0.8 %
20	-	251	1.9 %
21	-	137	1.0 %
22	-	89	0.7 %
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	100	0.7 %
25	-	140	1.0 %
26	-	94	0.7 %
27	-	66	0.5 %
28	-	82	0.6 %
29	-	60	0.4 %
30	-	134	1.0 %
31	-	72	0.5 %
32	-	72	0.5 %
33	-	49	0.4 %
34	-	63	0.5 %
35	-	80	0.6 %
36	-	49	0.4 %
37	-	39	0.3 %
38	-	63	0.5 %
39	-	47	0.3 %
40	-	91	0.7 %
41	-	36	0.3 %
42	-	54	0.4 %
43	-	41	0.3 %
44	-	41	0.3 %
45	-	56	0.4 %
46	-	27	0.2 %
47	-	37	0.3 %
48	-	34	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
49	-	26	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 24.18
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 903
- Standard Deviation: 49.00

Location: 571-573 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

X45_64: TOTAL OF AGES 45-64

Total clients age 45-64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3594	26.7 %
1	-	1124	8.4 %
2	-	1027	7.6 %
3	-	711	5.3 %
4	-	528	3.9 %
5	-	548	4.1 %
6	-	368	2.7 %
7	-	286	2.1 %
8	-	274	2.0 %
9	-	221	1.6 %
10	-	372	2.8 %
11	-	189	1.4 %
12	-	165	1.2 %
13	-	152	1.1 %
14	-	125	0.9 %
15	-	175	1.3 %
16	-	103	0.8 %
17	-	83	0.6 %
18	-	88	0.7 %
19	-	74	0.5 %
20	-	122	0.9 %
21	-	81	0.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
22	-	68	0.5 %
23	-	57	0.4 %
24	-	57	0.4 %
25	-	71	0.5 %
26	-	49	0.4 %
27	-	46	0.3 %
28	-	48	0.4 %
29	-	35	0.3 %
30	-	72	0.5 %
31	-	30	0.2 %
32	-	31	0.2 %
33	-	38	0.3 %
34	-	33	0.2 %
35	-	47	0.3 %
36	-	22	0.2 %
37	-	27	0.2 %
38	-	21	0.2 %
39	-	21	0.2 %
40	-	36	0.3 %
41	-	25	0.2 %
42	-	31	0.2 %
43	-	19	0.1 %
44	-	33	0.2 %
45	-	23	0.2 %
46	-	18	0.1 %
47	-	21	0.2 %
48	-	11	0.1 %
49	-	9	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 13.41
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1070
- Standard Deviation: 36.99

Location: 574-577 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

OVER65: TOTAL OF OVER 65

Total clients over age 64

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	8889	66.1 %
1	-	1241	9.2 %
2	-	660	4.9 %
3	-	334	2.5 %
4	-	223	1.7 %
5	-	184	1.4 %
6	-	92	0.7 %
7	-	63	0.5 %
8	-	58	0.4 %
9	-	36	0.3 %
10	-	68	0.5 %
11	-	27	0.2 %
12	-	25	0.2 %
13	-	20	0.1 %
14	-	19	0.1 %
15	-	22	0.2 %
16	-	7	0.1 %
17	-	5	0.0 %
18	-	8	0.1 %
19	-	13	0.1 %
20	-	13	0.1 %
21	-	7	0.1 %
22	-	9	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.0 %
24	-	6	0.0 %
25	-	10	0.1 %
26	-	11	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.1 %
28	-	3	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.0 %
31	-	4	0.0 %
32	-	3	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.0 %
34	-	5	0.0 %
35	-	6	0.0 %
37	-	4	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	3	0.0 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	5	0.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	2	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	MISSING	1316	9.8 %
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 12,139 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 1.34
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 438
- Standard Deviation: 7.09

Location: 578-580 (width: 3; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

UNKAGE: TOTAL OF UNKNOWN AGES

Total clients with age unknown

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	13455	100.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 0.00
- Median: 0.00
- Mode: 0.00
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 0
- Standard Deviation: 0.00

TTLAGE: TOTAL OF ALL AGES

Total clients, all ages

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1715	12.7 %
1	-	112	0.8 %
2	-	160	1.2 %
3	-	152	1.1 %
4	-	158	1.2 %
5	-	226	1.7 %
6	-	204	1.5 %
7	-	161	1.2 %
8	-	221	1.6 %
9	-	143	1.1 %
10	-	298	2.2 %
11	-	141	1.0 %
12	-	246	1.8 %
13	-	139	1.0 %
14	-	159	1.2 %
15	-	312	2.3 %
16	-	186	1.4 %
17	-	146	1.1 %
18	-	154	1.1 %
19	-	134	1.0 %
20	-	326	2.4 %
21	-	98	0.7 %
22	-	128	1.0 %
23	-	96	0.7 %
24	-	127	0.9 %
25	-	204	1.5 %
26	-	99	0.7 %
27	-	79	0.6 %
28	-	133	1.0 %
29	-	77	0.6 %
30	-	242	1.8 %
31	-	78	0.6 %
32	-	96	0.7 %
33	-	77	0.6 %
34	-	74	0.5 %
35	-	136	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
36	-	71	0.5 %
37	-	79	0.6 %
38	-	89	0.7 %
39	-	50	0.4 %
40	-	203	1.5 %
41	-	63	0.5 %
42	-	89	0.7 %
43	-	67	0.5 %
44	-	49	0.4 %
45	-	118	0.9 %
46	-	64	0.5 %
47	-	67	0.5 %
48	-	74	0.5 %
49	-	45	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Mean: 77.17
- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 3451
- Standard Deviation: 153.65

Location: 583-586 (width: 4; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_SEX1

Imputation Flag - H_SEX1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 587-588 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_SEX2

Imputation Flag - H_SEX2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	7	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	14	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 589-590 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_SEX3

Imputation Flag - H_SEX3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 591-592 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_SEX4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_SEX4

Imputation Flag - H_SEX4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 593-594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE1

Imputation Flag - H_RE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 595-596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE2

Imputation Flag - H_RE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13437	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 597-598 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE3

Imputation Flag - H_RE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13440	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	10	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 599-600 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE4

Imputation Flag - H_RE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13451	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	1	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	3	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 601-602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE5

Imputation Flag - H_RE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13449	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	1	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	5	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 603-604 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE6

Imputation Flag - H_RE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13451	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	0	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	4	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 605-606 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_RE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE7

Imputation Flag - H_RE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 607-608 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

H_RE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_RE8

Imputation Flag - H_RE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 609-610 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE1

Imputation Flag - H_AGE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13449	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	0	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	6	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 611-612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE2

Imputation Flag - H_AGE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13439	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	11	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 613-614 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE3

Imputation Flag - H_AGE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13437	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 615-616 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE4

Imputation Flag - H_AGE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13436	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	13	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 617-618 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE5

Imputation Flag - H_AGE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13436	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	14	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 619-620 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE6

Imputation Flag - H_AGE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13441	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	3	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	11	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 621-622 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE7

Imputation Flag - H_AGE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13442	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	11	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 623-624 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE8

Imputation Flag - H_AGE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 625-626 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

H_AGE9FL: EDIT FLAG FOR H_AGE9

Imputation Flag - H_AGE9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	6	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 627-628 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

O_SEX1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_SEX1

Imputation Flag - O_SEX1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13226	98.3 %
1	Value Imputed	29	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	200	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 629-630 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_SEX2

Imputation Flag - O_SEX2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13232	98.3 %
1	Value Imputed	27	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	196	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 631-632 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_SEX3

Imputation Flag - O_SEX3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13447	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 633-634 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_SEX4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_SEX4

Imputation Flag - O_SEX4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13216	98.2 %
1	Value Imputed	36	0.3 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	203	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 635-636 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE1

Imputation Flag - O_RE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13236	98.4 %
1	Value Imputed	26	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	193	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 637-638 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

O_RE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE2

Imputation Flag - O_RE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13244	98.4 %
1	Value Imputed	25	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	186	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 639-640 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE3

Imputation Flag - O_RE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13266	98.6 %
1	Value Imputed	19	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	170	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 641-642 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE4

Imputation Flag - O_RE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13360	99.3 %
1	Value Imputed	5	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	90	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 643-644 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE5

Imputation Flag - O_RE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13347	99.2 %
1	Value Imputed	12	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	96	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 645-646 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE6

Imputation Flag - O_RE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13384	99.5 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	63	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 647-648 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

O_RE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE7

Imputation Flag - O_RE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13442	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	13	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 649-650 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_RE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_RE8

Imputation Flag - O_RE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13216	98.2 %
1	Value Imputed	36	0.3 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	203	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 651-652 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE1

Imputation Flag - O_AGE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13304	98.9 %
1	Value Imputed	16	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	135	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 653-654 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE2

Imputation Flag - O_AGE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13250	98.5 %
1	Value Imputed	22	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	183	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 655-656 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE3

Imputation Flag - O_AGE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13244	98.4 %
1	Value Imputed	23	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	188	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 657-658 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

O_AGE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE4

Imputation Flag - O_AGE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13242	98.4 %
1	Value Imputed	25	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	188	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 659-660 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE5

Imputation Flag - O_AGE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13244	98.4 %
1	Value Imputed	25	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	186	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 661-662 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE6

Imputation Flag - O_AGE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13254	98.5 %
1	Value Imputed	25	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	176	1.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 663-664 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE7

Imputation Flag - O_AGE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13304	98.9 %
1	Value Imputed	17	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	134	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 665-666 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE8

Imputation Flag - O_AGE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13443	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	12	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 667-668 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

O_AGE9FL: EDIT FLAG FOR O_AGE9

Imputation Flag - O_AGE9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13216	98.2 %
1	Value Imputed	36	0.3 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	203	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 669-670 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_SEX1

Imputation Flag - R_SEX1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13410	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	11	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	34	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 671-672 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_SEX2

Imputation Flag - R_SEX2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13418	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	9	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	28	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 673-674 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_SEX3

Imputation Flag - R_SEX3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 675-676 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_SEX4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_SEX4

Imputation Flag - R_SEX4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13403	99.6 %
1	Value Imputed	13	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	39	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 677-678 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

___

R_RE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE1

Imputation Flag - R_RE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13409	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	9	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	37	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 679-680 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

___

R_RE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE2

Imputation Flag - R_RE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13415	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	9	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	31	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 681-682 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

___

R_RE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE3

Imputation Flag - R_RE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13418	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	29	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 683-684 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE4

Imputation Flag - R_RE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13445	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	1	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	9	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 685-686 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE5

Imputation Flag - R_RE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13434	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	19	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 687-688 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

R_RE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE6

Imputation Flag - R_RE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13445	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	3	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	7	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 689-690 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE7

Imputation Flag - R_RE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 691-692 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_RE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_RE8

Imputation Flag - R_RE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13403	99.6 %
1	Value Imputed	13	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	39	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 693-694 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE1

Imputation Flag - R_AGE1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13439	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	14	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 695-696 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE2

Imputation Flag - R_AGE2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13414	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	9	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	32	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 697-698 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

R_AGE3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE3

Imputation Flag - R_AGE3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13413	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	10	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	32	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 699-700 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE4

Imputation Flag - R_AGE4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13412	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	10	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	33	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 701-702 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE5

Imputation Flag - R_AGE5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13412	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	10	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	33	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 703-704 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE6

Imputation Flag - R_AGE6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13415	99.7 %
1	Value Imputed	10	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	30	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 705-706 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE7

Imputation Flag - R_AGE7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13443	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	10	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 707-708 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

R_AGE8FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE8

Imputation Flag - R_AGE8

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13453	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	2	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 709-710 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

R_AGE9FL: EDIT FLAG FOR R_AGE9

Imputation Flag - R_AGE9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13403	99.6 %
1	Value Imputed	13	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	39	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 711-712 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI1FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI1

Imputation Flag - T_CLI1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13447	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 713-714 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI2FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI2

Imputation Flag - T_CLI2

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13451	100.0 %
1	Value Imputed	4	0.0 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 715-716 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI3FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI3

Imputation Flag - T_CLI3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13447	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 717-718 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

TCLI4FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI4

Imputation Flag - T_CLI4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13444	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	11	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 719-720 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI5FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI5

Imputation Flag - T_CLI5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13430	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	25	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 721-722 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI6FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI6

Imputation Flag - T_CLI6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13431	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	24	0.2 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 723-724 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLI7FL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLI7

Imputation Flag - T_CLI7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13447	99.9 %
1	Value Imputed	8	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 1

Location: 725-726 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLIRCFL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLIRC

Imputation Flag - T_CLIRC

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13402	99.6 %
1	Value Imputed	14	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	39	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	13,455	100%

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 727-728 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLIOPFL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLIOP

Imputation Flag - T_CLIOP

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13214	98.2 %
1	Value Imputed	38	0.3 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	203	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 729-730 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

TCLIHIFL: EDIT FLAG FOR T_CLIHI

Imputation Flag - T_CLIHI

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Value not imputed	13433	99.8 %
1	Value Imputed	7	0.1 %
2	Value not imputed/not used in imputation	15	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	Total	**13,455**	**100%**

Based upon 13,455 valid cases out of 13,455 total cases.

- Minimum: 0
- Maximum: 2

Location: 731-732 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric
(Range of) Missing Values: -9

Appendix A

UFDS 1998 Questionnaire

This Appendix contains a complete copy of the 1998 UFDS questionnaire that was sent by mail to all facilities. As noted in Chapter 1, 78 percent of the 13,455 responding treatment facilities completed this version of the questionnaire. An additional 22 percent of facilities completed a slightly abbreviated version of the mail questionnaire that was administered by telephone. These were facilities that, after repeated efforts detailed in Chapter 1, had not completed the mail survey. The telephone interview was conducted using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). A printed version of the CATI interview is not included here because it contains embedded instructions and skip patterns that make it difficult to read.

The CATI interview included all items from the mail survey except the detailed check list of substance abuse services (Question 7). However, the section of this check list concerning programs for special groups (items 30-34) was asked of telephone respondents.

UNIFORM FACILITY DATA SET (UFDS)

OCTOBER 1, 1998

DRUG AND ALCOHOL SERVICES INFORMATION SYSTEM (DASIS)

Is the information shown above <u>complete</u> and <u>correct</u>?

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No L **PLEASE CROSS OUT THE INCORRECT INFORMATION
AND ENTER THE CORRECT INFORMATION**

PLEASE READ BEFORE TURNING THE PAGE!

It is now possible for <u>every facility</u> to complete at least some portion of the questionnaire--even if an administrative unit or parent facility has answered for you in the past. Begin at Q1 and follow the instructions.

P   T   O   A   *(Office Use Only)*

Why is completing this questionnaire important?

Your participation makes a difference. The UFDS survey is the ONLY source of data on ALL known substance abuse treatment and prevention programs in the nation. When substance abuse policy makers and program managers need up-to-date national information on characteristics of substance abuse programs and the numbers and types of clients served, they rely on the UFDS. UFDS data are used to formulate the Nation's annual drug control strategy and to make many other important decisions regarding substance abuse policy.

This survey is sponsored by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Instructions

C   The reference date for UFDS is October 1, 1998.

C   Return the completed questionnaire in the envelope provided.

If you have any questions concerning this questionnaire, or if you need additional blank forms, contact:

MATHEMATICA POLICY RESEARCH, INC.

1-888-324-UFDS (8337)

1. On October 1, 1998, which of the following substance abuse services were provided by this facility, that is, the facility named on the front cover?

Please report for only this location

MARK "YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH   <u>YES</u>   <u>NO</u>

a. **Substance Abuse Treatment**
(services that focus on initiating and maintaining an individuals recovery from substance abuse and on averting relapse) . . . . 1 ~   2 ~

b. **Detoxification Services**
. . . . 1 ~   2 ~

c. **Substance Abuse Prevention**
(prevention activities directed at individuals not identified to be in need of treatment, such as information dissemination or education) . . . . 1 ~   2 ~

d. **Other Substance Abuse Services**
(such as intake, assessment, and referral) . . . . 1 ~   2 ~

e. **Administrative Services**
(such as billing, personnel, and scheduling) . . . . 1 ~   2 ~

1a. Did you answer "yes" to any of the services above?

1 ~ Yes ö **SKIP TO Q2**

2 ~ No

1b. When did this facility stop providing substance abuse services? RECORD MONTH AND YEAR

MONTH: ☐☐

YEAR: 19 ☐☐

-1 ~ Don't know

0 ~ Never provided substance abuse services

SKIP TO Q38, PAGE 10

2. Who owns this facility?

MARK ONE ONLY

1 ~ A private-for-profit organization

2 ~ A private non-profit organization

3 ~ State government

4 ~ Local, county or community government

5 ~ Tribal government

6 ~ Federal government

SKIP TO Q3

2a. Which federal government agency?

MARK ONE ONLY

1 ~ Department of Veterans Affairs

2 ~ Department of Defense

3 ~ Bureau of Prisons

4 ~ Indian Health Service

5 ~ Other, *(Specify:*

_____)

3. Does this facility operate or participate in a hotline that provides substance abuse counseling and referral services?

911 is not considered a hotline

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q4**

3a. Please enter the facility's hotline telephone number(s) below.

HOTLINE TELEPHONE NUMBER(S)

(_____)-_____

(_____)-_____

4. Did you check "yes" to either "Substance Abuse Treatment" or "Detoxification Services" in Q1?

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q38, PAGE 10**

5. **Which setting (or settings) BEST describe this substance abuse treatment facility?**

C *Please report for only the facility named on the front cover*

HOSPITAL SETTING (may include an outpatient substance abuse unit on site)

1 ~ General hospital

2 ~ Psychiatric hospital

3 ~ Other specialized hospital (for example, alcoholism, maternity, children's, orthopedic)

SPECIALTY SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT SETTINGS

4 ~ OUTPATIENT facility specializing in substance abuse treatment

5 ~ Halfway House

6 ~ RESIDENTIAL (non-hospital) substance abuse treatment facility

➤ Does this residential facility include a Therapeutic Community (TC)? A TC is a specific treatment approach used by some residential facilities.

1 ~ Yes
2 ~ No

OTHER SETTINGS

7 ~ Community MENTAL health center or other mental health facility that provides a variety of services

8 ~ Community Health Center, including Migrant Health Center, Urban Indian Program, Health Care for the Homeless Center

9 ~ School (elementary, secondary, college/university)

10 ~ Community or religious organization/agency that provides a variety of social services

11 ~ Jail, prison or juvenile detention center

12 ~ Other criminal justice (TASC, pretrial diversion, court referral, probation, parole, community corrections, drug courts)

13 ~ Private practice—Group

14 ~ Private practice—Solo

15 ~ Other setting *(specify type:*

_____)

6. **Is this facility owned or operated by a managed care organization (for example, an HMO)?**

1 ~ Yes ö **SKIP TO Q7, PAGE 3**

2 ~ No

6a. **On October 1, 1998, did this facility have letters of agreement or contracts with managed care organizations for providing substance abuse treatment services?**

1 ~ Yes, had formal written agreements or contracts with managed care organizations

2 ~ No formal written agreements or contracts with managed care organizations

-1 ~ Don't know

GO TO Q7, PAGE 3

6b. **With how many managed care organizations did you have formal written agreements or contracts?**

NUMBER: ☐☐☐

7. **As of October 1, 1998, which of these services were being provided _at this_ substance abuse facility?**

MARK ALL THAT APPLY

Assessment Services
1 ~ Comprehensive substance abuse assessment/diagnosis
2 ~ Comprehensive mental health assessment/ diagnosis *(for example, psychological/ psychiatric evaluation and testing)*
3 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Therapy
4 ~ Family counseling
5 ~ Group therapy, not including relapse prevention
6 ~ Individual therapy
7 ~ Pharmacotherapies/prescription medication
8 ~ Relapse prevention groups
9 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Testing (Include testing service even if specimen is sent to outside source for chemical analysis)
10 ~ Blood alcohol testing (including breathalyzer)
11 ~ Drug/alcohol urine screening
12 ~ Hair analysis
13 ~ Hepatitis testing
14 ~ HIV testing
15 ~ STD testing
16 ~ TB screening
17 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Health Services
18 ~ Family planning
19 ~ Medical care (including physical exams)
20 ~ Prenatal care
21 ~ Perinatal care
22 ~ TB treatment
23 ~ Health education *(for example, nutrition, contagious diseases, STD other than HIV/AIDS)*
24 ~ HIV/AIDS education/counseling/support
25 ~ Smoking cessation
26 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Continuing Care
27 ~ Aftercare counseling
28 ~ Alumni(ae) groups
29 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Special Programs for Target Populations
30 ~ Adolescents
31 ~ Dually-diagnosed (mental and substance abuse disorders)
32 ~ Persons with HIV/AIDS
33 ~ Pregnant/Postpartum women
61 ~ Other women's groups
34 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Transitional Services
35 ~ Assistance with obtaining Social Services (i.e., Medicaid, WIC, SSI, SSDI)
36 ~ Discharge planning
37 ~ Employment counseling/training
38 ~ Housing assistance
39 ~ Referral to other services
40 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Community Outreach
41 ~ Drug and alcohol education
42 ~ Outreach/early intervention
43 ~ Media presentations (T.V., radio, brochures)
44 ~ Membership in a community partnership program
45 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

Other Services
46 ~ Academic education/GED classes
47 ~ Acupuncture
48 ~ Case management services
49 ~ Child care
50 ~ Communication skills
52 ~ Domestic violence--family/partner violence services (physical, sexual and emotional abuse)
53 ~ Home visits
54 ~ Life skills for independent living
55 ~ Outcome follow-up (post-discharge)
56 ~ Parenting/family skills development
57 ~ Self-help groups, including 12-step programs
58 ~ Socialization/recreational services *(for example, scheduled activities such as camping, sporting events)*
59 ~ Transportation assistance to treatment
60 ~ Other *(Specify: _____)*

8. **On October 1, 1998, which of the following types of substance abuse treatment were offered at this facility?**

MARK "YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH	YES	NO
a. Outpatient detoxification	1 ~	2 ~
b. Intensive outpatient treatment	1 ~	2 ~
c. Outpatient treatment	1 ~	2 ~
d. Hospital Inpatient detoxification. . .	1 ~	2 ~
e. Hospital Inpatient rehabilitation . . .	1 ~	2 ~
f. Non-hospital residential (24 hour care) detoxification	1 ~	2 ~
g. Non-hospital residential (24 hour care) rehabilitation	1 ~	2 ~

9. **On October 1, 1998, was this facility part of a network of administratively-linked facilities that provide substance abuse treatment?**

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q12**

10. **The next questions ask about the number of clients treated at this facility on October 1, 1998. Which statement below best describes your ability to provide client count data for the facility named on the front cover?**

MARK ONE ONLY

1 ~ Can only provide client counts that combine this facility with others ö **GO TO Q11**

2 ~ Can provide separate client counts for this facility ö **SKIP TO Q12**

3 ~ Cannot provide any client counts for this facility; clients counts are only available from another facility in the network ö **RECORD NAME AND PHONE NUMBER OF PERSON TO CONTACT FOR CLIENT COUNTS**

Name:

_____

Phone:

_____

SKIP TO Q38, PAGE 10

11. **How many facilities are included in the client data you will be reporting?**

NUMBER OF FACILITIES: ☐☐

PLEASE MARK THE FACILITIES COUNTED IN Q11 ON THE YELLOW *NETWORK FACILITY SHEET* INCLUDED IN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE PACKET. THEN RETURN TO Q12 AND ANSWER THE REST OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THAT GROUP OF FACILITIES.

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE THE YELLOW *NETWORK FACILITY SHEET*, CALL THE UFDS HOTLINE AT 1-888-324-UFDS (8337). ONE WILL BE FAXED TO YOU IMMEDIATELY. UPON RECEIPT OF THE FORM, FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS ABOVE.

12. **12 Month Unduplicated Client Count. In the 12 months between October 1, 1997 and September 30, 1998, (or the most recent 12-month period for which data are available), how many individual clients received substance abuse treatment at this facility?**

C *Count all clients who received any treatment during the 12-month period, even if their treatment began before that time*

C *Count each client only once*

NUMBER: ☐☐☐,☐☐☐

13. **12 Month Admissions. During the 12 months between October 1, 1997 and September 30, 1998 (or the most recent 12-month period for which data are available), how many admissions for substance abuse treatment were there at this facility?**

C *If a client was admitted more than once during this 12-month period, count each time that person was admitted. For example, if the same person was admitted three times during the year, count this as three admissions*

C *Moving to a different level of care also counts as an admission*

NUMBER: ☐☐☐,☐☐☐

14. **Were outpatient substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?** *(See Q8, PAGE 4)*

    ₁ ~ Yes ö **GO TO Q15 BELOW**

    ₂ ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q18, PAGE 6**

15. **In the 30 days between September 1 and October 1, 1998, how many people at this facility received the following outpatient substance abuse treatment services . . .**

C *Only count those still enrolled on October 1, 1998*

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

NUMBER

a. Outpatient detoxification . . [| | , | | |]

b. Intensive outpatient care (Include persons who received services for 2 or more hours per day and 3 or more days per week) . . . . [| | , | | |]

c. Other outpatient care (excluding intensive) . . . . . [| | , | | |]

d. **TOTAL OUTPATIENTS (add a, b, & c)** [| | , | | |]

    (Q15d)

(IF Q15d EQUALS ZERO: **SKIP TO Q18, PAGE 6**)

16. **On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL OUTPATIENTS reported in Q15d were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?**

~ THIS FACILITY DOES NOT DISPENSE METHADONE OR LAAM **! GO TO Q17**

NUMBER

Methadone clients at this location . . . . [| | , | | |]

LAAM clients at this location . . . . [| | , | | |]

17. **How many of the total outpatients in Q15d were in each of the following gender, race/ethnic, and age categories?**

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

17a. GENDER

a. Male . . . . [| | , | | |]

b. Female . . . . [| | , | | |]

c. Don't know . . . . [| | , | | |]

TOTAL OUTPATIENTS (add a-c) . . [| | , | | |]

[Should equal the number in Q15d, if not please reconcile.]

17b. RACE/ETHNICITY

a. White . . . . [| | , | | |]

b. Black or African American . . . . . [| | , | | |]

c. Hispanic . . . . [| | , | | |]

d. Asian or Pacific Islander . . . . [| | , | | |]

e. American Indian or Alaska Native. [| | , | | |]

f. Other . . . . [| | , | | |]

g. Don't know . . . . [| | , | | |]

TOTAL OUTPATIENTS (add a-g) . . [| | , | | |]

[Should equal the number in Q15d, if not please reconcile.]

17c. AGE

a. Under 18 years . . . . [| | , | | |]

b. 18-20 . . . . [| | , | | |]

c. 21-24 . . . . [| | , | | |]

d. 25-34 . . . . [| | , | | |]

e. 35-44 . . . . [| | , | | |]

f. 45-64 . . . . [| | , | | |]

g. 65 and over . . . . [| | , | | |]

h. Don't know . . . . [| | , | | |]

TOTAL OUTPATIENTS (add a-h) . . [| | , | | |]

[Should equal the number in Q15d, if not please reconcile.]

18. **Were hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?** *(See Q8, PAGE 4)*

   ₁ ~ Yes ö **GO TO Q19 BELOW**

   ₂ ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q23, PAGE 7**

19. **On October 1, 1998, how many beds were designated for hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment clients? This is often referred to as "maximum capacity."**

C *Include both occupied beds and unoccupied beds*

MAXIMUM CAPACITY: ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

20. **On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following hospital inpatient substance abuse treatment services . . .**

C *Do not count those discharged on October 1, 1998*

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

                                NUMBER

a. Hospital inpatient-- detoxification. . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

b. Hospital inpatient-- rehabilitation . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

c. **TOTAL INPATIENTS (add a & b)** ☐☐**,**☐☐☐ **(Q20c)**

(IF Q20c EQUALS ZERO: **SKIP TO Q23, PAGE 7**)

21. **On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL INPATIENTS reported in Q20c were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?**

~ THIS FACILITY DOES NOT DISPENSE METHADONE OR LAAM ! **GO TO Q22**

                                NUMBER

Methadone clients at this location . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

LAAM clients at this location . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

---

22. **How many of the total hospital inpatients in Q20c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnic, and age categories?**

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

22a. GENDER

a. Male . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

b. Female . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

c. Don't know . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

TOTAL INPATIENTS (add a-c) . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐
[Should equal the number in Q20c, if not please reconcile.]

22b. RACE/ETHNICITY

a. White . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

b. Black or African American . . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

c. Hispanic . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

d. Asian or Pacific Islander . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

e. American Indian or Alaska Native. ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

f. Other . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

g. Don't know . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

TOTAL INPATIENTS (add a-g) . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐
[Should equal the number in Q20c, if not please reconcile.]

22c. AGE

a. Under 18 years . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

b.   18-20 . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

c.   21-24 . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

d.   25-34 . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

e.   35-44 . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

f.   45-64 . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

g.   65 and over . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

h. Don't know . . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐

TOTAL INPATIENTS (add a-h) . . . ☐☐**,**☐☐☐
[Should equal the number in Q20c, if not please reconcile.]

23. Were <u>non-hospital residential</u> substance abuse treatment services offered on October 1, 1998?
(See Q8, PAGE 4)

  ₁ ~ Yes ö **GO TO Q24 BELOW**

  ₂ ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q28, PAGE 8**

24. On October 1, 1998, how many beds were designated for <u>non-hospital residential substance abuse treatment clients</u>? This is often referred to as "maximum capacity."

C *Include both occupied beds and unoccupied beds*

MAXIMUM CAPACITY: ☐ **,** ☐☐☐

25. On October 1, 1998, how many clients at this facility received the following <u>non-hospital residential (24-hour care)</u> substance abuse treatment services . . .

C *Only count those <u>not</u> discharged on October 1, 1998*

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

	NUMBER
a. Residential (24 hr)-- detoxification.	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
b. Residential (24 hr)-- rehabilitation	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
c. **TOTAL RESIDENTIAL (add a & b)**	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐ **(Q25c)**

(IF Q25c EQUALS ZERO: **SKIP TO Q28, PAGE 8**)

26. On October 1, 1998, how many of the TOTAL RESIDENTIAL clients reported in Q25c were receiving methadone or LAAM at this location?

  ~ THIS FACILITY DOES NOT DISPENSE METHADONE OR LAAM **! GO TO Q27**

	NUMBER
Methadone clients at this location	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
LAAM clients at this location	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐

27. How many of the total residential clients in Q25c were in each of the following gender, race/ethnic, and age categories?

C *For each category with no clients, enter zero, "0"*

27a. GENDER

a. Male	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
b. Female	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
c. Don't know	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CLIENTS (add a-c)	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐

[Should equal the number in Q25c, if not please reconcile.]

27b. RACE/ETHNICITY

a. White	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
b. Black or African American	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
c. Hispanic	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
d. Asian or Pacific Islander	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
e. American Indian or Alaska Native.	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
f. Other	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
g. Don't know	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CLIENTS (add a-g)	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐

[Should equal the number in Q25c, if not please reconcile.]

27c. AGE

a. Under 18 years	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
b. 18-20	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
c. 21-24	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
d. 25-34	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
e. 35-44	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
f. 45-64	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
g. 65 and over	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
h. Don't know	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐
TOTAL RESIDENTIAL CLIENTS (add a-h)	☐☐ **,** ☐☐☐

[Should equal the number in Q25c, if not please reconcile.]

28. **Approximately what percent of all the substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998 were being treated for:**

~ THIS FACILITY HAD NO SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT CLIENTS ON OCTOBER 1, 1998 ➡ **SKIP TO Q30, PAGE 9**

C *Include all substance abuse clients reported at Q15d (outpatients), Q20c (hospital inpatients), and Q25c (non-hospital residential)*

C *Your response should add to 100 percent*

a. Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse . . . . ☐☐☐ .00%

b. Alcohol Abuse Only . . . . ☐☐☐ .00%

c. Drug Abuse Only . . . . ☐☐☐ .00%

100%

29. **Approximately what percent of all the substance abuse treatment clients enrolled at this facility on October 1, 1998, were in the following categories:**

C *Include all substance abuse clients reported at Q15d (outpatients), Q20c (hospital inpatients), and Q25c (non-hospital residential)*

C *Clients can be counted in more than one category*

	Percent	Or	Don't Know
a. Injection drug users at the time of admission	☐☐☐ %		~
b. <u>Known to have</u> an active case of Tuberculosis (TB)	☐☐☐ %		~
c. HIV positive	☐☐☐ %		~
d. Had previously received substance abuse treatment from this or another facility . . .	☐☐☐ %		~
e. Covered by managed care arrangements	☐☐☐ %		~
f. Criminal justice referred clients (excluding DUI/DWI)	☐☐☐ %		~
g. Pregnant women**	☐☐☐ %		~
h. Mothers with dependent children under 18 years old **	☐☐☐ %		~

**BASE THIS PERCENTAGE ON ALL CLIENTS, NOT ONLY THE FEMALE CLIENTS

30. What was this facility's <u>total *substance abuse treatment revenue or funding*</u> for the MOST RECENT 12-month fiscal reporting period for which data are available? Include all sources such as client payments, insurance, government funds, and donations.

    C *If substance abuse treatment revenue is combined with other revenue, please provide your best estimate of the substance abuse treatment portion*

Total Substance Abuse Treatment Revenue or Funding: | $ .00 |

31. From which of the following sources did this facility receive the substance abuse treatment revenue or funding reported above? Mark Yes or No for each.

    C *Even if you cannot provide a revenue or funding total in Q30, please mark the sources and estimate percentages below*

    C *If you marked category "6" (Federal government) in Q2, you should have revenues to report in category "e1" below*

    C FOR EACH SOURCE MARKED "YES": *Enter the dollar amount or estimated percentage of substance abuse treatment revenue or funding received <u>directly</u> from that source*

REVENUE SOURCES	MARK YES OR NO FOR EACH — Yes	No	DOLLAR AMOUNT	OR	ESTIMATED PERCENT
a. <u>Client payments</u> (self-payment, deductibles, copayments)	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
b. <u>Private health insurance</u>					
1. Fee-for-service (not HMO, PPO, or managed care)	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
2. HMO/PPO/Managed care payments	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
3. Private health insurance, unspecified**	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
c. <u>Medicaid</u>					
1. Not managed care--Title XIX, including all Federal, State, and local matching Medicaid funds	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
2. Managed care payments--Title XIX, including all Federal, State, and local matching Medicaid funds	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
3. Medicaid, unspecified**	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
d. <u>Medicare</u>	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
e. <u>Government funds</u>					
1. Federal (for example, VA, CHAMPUS--not including Medicare)	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
2. State--including Federal block grant funds funneled through the State and any State-only medical assistance	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
3. Local--not including Medicaid	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
f. <u>Other public funds</u>, source unspecified	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
g. <u>Other funds</u> (such as funds from charities, donations, fund-raising events) - *(specify largest source: _____)*	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %
h. <u>Unknown</u>	1 ~	2 ~	$_____		☐☐☐ %

** Unspecified: Only use if you are unable to distinguish between revenue from managed care and non-managed care sources. DO NOT DOUBLE COUNT REVENUE.

TOTAL | .00 | *** 100%**

*Should Equal Q30 Revenue or Funding Amount

32. **What 12-month reporting period was used to answer Q30?**

~ DID NOT ANSWER Q30 **! SKIP TO Q35**

FROM: ☐☐ Month   19 ☐☐ Year

TO: ☐☐ Month   19 ☐☐ Year

33. **Does Q30 report revenue or funding for only this facility or for this facility and others?**

1 ~ Only this facility **! SKIP TO Q37**

2 ~ This facility and others

34. **Are the facilities you included in Q30 the same facilities you included in your client counts (Q11)?**

1 ~ All of the facilities are the same

2 ~ Some of the facilities are the same

-1 ~ Don't know

SKIP TO Q37

35. **Is there another organization that can provide the revenue or funding information for your facility?**

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No ö **SKIP TO Q37**

36. **Please provide the following information for that organization.**

Name of Organization _____

Name of Contact Person _____

Telephone (_____) - _____

Ext. *(if any)* _____

37. **In addition to funding received for providing substance abuse treatment services, did you receive any <u>Federal or State funding</u> earmarked for <u>prevention activities</u> during the same 12-month period reported in Q30 or your most recent fiscal year?**

1 ~ Yes

2 ~ No

-1 ~ Don't Know

38. **Please provide the following information about the person primarily responsible for completing this form.**

Name: _____

Telephone Number: (_____) - _____

FAX Number: (_____)-_____

OR ~ No FAX Number

Internet Address: _____

OR ~ No Internet Address

39. **When completed, the *1998 National Directory of Drug Abuse and Alcoholism Treatment and Prevention Programs* and the *1998 UFDS Data Report* will be available on SAMHSA's home page at www.samhsa.gov. If you would like to receive a paper copy of the *National Directory* or the *UFDS Data Report,* please indicate below.**

1 ~ Yes, I would like to receive a copy of the Directory

2 ~ Yes, I would like to receive a copy of the 1998 UFDS Data Report

---

Thank you for your participation. Please return this questionnaire in the envelope provided. If you no longer have the envelope, please mail this questionnaire to:

MATHEMATICA POLICY RESEARCH, INC.
ATTN: Barbara Rogers
P.O. Box 2393
Princeton, NJ 08543-2393

ORGANIZATIONAL SETTING

A consistent goal of UFDS has been to identify *treatment setting*, a concept that is subject to a variety of definitions and interpretations. Definitions of treatment setting generally fall into three categories. A treatment setting may be an organizational setting (e.g., a hospital or mental health center). It may indicate a treatment philosophy (e.g., social model or therapeutic community). Finally, it may indicate living arrangements while in treatment (e.g., outpatient, residential, halfway house). These different definitions are driven by the many different needs of data users. For example, treatment setting may be used by payers to set rates, by treatment professionals to determine levels of care, or by planners to determine system needs.

UFDS planners have tried to incorporate data elements that permit analysis of treatment setting from different viewpoints. These data elements have changed as the questionnaire has evolved over time. In general, prior to 1995, organizational setting was collected in a single environment/location/setting question. Treatment philosophy and client living arrangement were collected in client census matrices, which cross-tabulated modality/type of care with environment/facility location. In 1995, the client census matrices were simplified to reduce the reporting burden, and the environment/setting/location question was expanded to recapture information lost from the matrices.

In the 1998 UFDS survey, treatment setting was collected in a single question (Question 5; see Appendix A). Respondents were asked to select which setting or settings best described the facility. Options included:

! General hospital
! Psychiatric hospital
! Other specialized hospital
! Outpatient facility specializing in substance abuse treatment
! Halfway house
! Residential (non-hospital) substance abuse treatment facility
! Therapeutic community
! Community mental health center or other mental health facility providing a variety of services
! Community health center
! School
! Community or religious organization/agency providing a variety of social services
! Jail, prison, or juvenile detention center
! Other criminal justice
! Private practice - solo
! Private practice - group
! Other setting

This multiple listing, in conjunction with the counts of clients in treatment by type of care, was designed to permit flexibility in the definition and analysis of treatment setting.

The definition of treatment setting used in this report corresponds to that of *organizational setting*. To present facility and client data by organizational setting, it was necessary to assign each facility to a single organizational setting category. The organizational settings categories used in the report are:

- ! Specialty substance abuse treatment
  - ! Outpatient
  - ! Residential (including halfway house, therapeutic community)
  - ! Outpatient and residential
- ! Community mental health center/Other mental health facility
- ! Solo or group practice
- ! General hospital (including VA hospitals)
- ! Psychiatric or other specialized hospital
- ! Criminal justice
- ! Community or religious agency/organization
- ! Community health center
- ! Multiple or unknown settings

About two-thirds of the facilities selected a single setting category. For those facilities selecting multiple responses to the setting question, assignment to a single organizational setting category was completed following an algorithm developed to place each facility into its most logical setting category. For multiple responses, setting categories were determined first on the basis of whether a larger organizational affiliation was indicated. Thus facilities indicating that they were set in a community mental health center, community health center, solo or group practice, the criminal justice system, or community or religious agency/organization were categorized as such. Facilities not indicating such an affiliation were classified as *Specialty substance abuse treatment facilities*. These were further subdivided according to client living arrangement (outpatient, residential, or mixed outpatient and residential).

Facilities indicating more than one larger affiliation (such as both CMHC and community health center) were classified as *Mixed/Unknown*. This category also included facilities that indicated that they were schools, and those that failed to specify a setting.